#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 265 784

HE 019 027

TITLE

California College-Going Rates, 1984 Update. Report

85-34.

INSTITUTION

California State Postsecondary Education Commission,

Sacramento.

PUB DATE

Sep 85

NOTE

59p.

AVAILABLE FROM

California Postsecondary Education Commission, 1020

Twelfth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

PUB TYPE

Statistical Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

American Indians; Asian Americans; Black Students;

\*College Attendance; \*College Bound Students; Community Colleges; \*Enrollment Trends; Ethnic Groups; Females; Filipino Americans; \*Geographic Distribution; High School Graduates; Hispanic Americans; Males; Minority Groups; Postsecondary Education; Private Colleges; Racial Distribution; State Surveys; State Universities; White Students

**IDENTIFIERS** 

\*California; Public Colleges

#### **ABSTRACT**

Trend data on college-going rates in California during 1974-1984 are examined. Consideration is given to statewide high school graduation and college-going trends, and participation rates for the University of California, California State University, California community colleges, and independent colleges and universities. Data are also provided on differences in enrollment rates by sector for 51 California counties for 1974-1984, and sex and ethnic differences in participation rates for each sector by county. Findings include: in Fall 1984, almost 5,700 fewer first-time freshmen from California high schools enrolled in the state's colleges and universities compared with the previous term; the University of California rate of enrolling first-time freshmen from California high schools increased from 7.0% for fall 1983 to 7.5% for fall 1984; California State University's rate for first-time freshmen remained at 8.9%; the statewide enrollment rate for the community colleges fell to 36.3% from fall 1984, a drop of 1.6 percentage points from the previous fall term; and the enrollment of women high school graduates as freshmen in each sector was higher than that of men. Enrollments for six ethnic groups (Asian, Filipino, Hispanic, Black, American Indian, White) are reported. (SW)



## CALIFORNIA COLLEGE-GOING RATES



California Postsecondary Education Commission

The California Postsecondary Education Commission was created by the Legislature and the Governor in 1974 as the successor to the California Coordinating Council for Higher Education in order to coordinate and plan for education in California beyond high school. As a state agency, the Commission is responsible for assuring that the State's resources for postsecondary education are utilized effectively and efficiently; for promoting diversity, innovation, and responsiveness to the needs of students and society: and for advising the Legislature and the Governor on statewide educational policy and funding.

The Commission consists of 15 members. Nine represent the general public, with three each a vpointed by the Speaker of the Assembly, the Senate Rules Committee, and the Governor The other six represent the major educational systems of the State.

The Commission holds regular public meetings throughout the year at which it takes action on staff studies and adopts positions on legislative proposals affecting postsecondury education. Further information about the Commission, its meetings, its staff, and its other publications may be obtained from the Commission offices at 1020 Twelfth Street, Sacramento, California 95814; telephone (916) 445-7933.



# CALIFORNIA COLLEGE-GOING RATES 1984 UPDATE



CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION 1020 Twelfth Street, Sacramento, California 95814



Commission Report 85-34 September 1985



### CONTENTS

	Page
HIGHLIGHTS OF THE FINDINGS	1
Overall Rate University of California Rates California State University Rates California Community College Rates Independent College and University Rates Sex Differences in Rates Ethnic Differences in Rates	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3
BACKGROUND FOR THE ANALYSIS	5
Scope of the Report Limitations of the Data Methodology	5 5 6
STATEWIDE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES AND COLLEGE-GOING RATES	7
Number of High School Graduates Statewide College-Going Rates	7 8
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION RATES	9
Public and Private School Rates Sex Differences in Rates Ethnic Differences in Rates	10 10 11
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY PARTICIPATION RATES	15
Public and Private School Rates Sex Differences in Rates Ethnic Differences in Rates	15 15 16
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE PARTICIPATION RATES	19
Problems with the Data Changes from Fall 1983 Changes in Sex and Ethnicity Changes in Selected Counties Los Angeles County San Diego County Santa Clara County	19 20 20 21 21 21 22
INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES	23
Institutional Changes in Enrollment Sex and Ethnic Differences	23 25
CONCLUDING COMMENTS	27
APPENDICES	29
REFERENCES	57



### APPENDICES

		Page
A:	Percentages of Recent High School Graduates Enrolling in Each Segment of California Higher Education by County and Year, 1974, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, and 1984	29
В:	Ethnic Distribution of 1984 Graduates of Public and Private California High Schools, and 1984 First-Time Freshmen at the University of California, the California State University, and the California Community Colleges, by County and Sex, in Percents	41
	TABLES	
1.	Statewide College-Going Rates for Recent High School Graduates, 1974-1983	7
2.	University of California Participation Rates for Graduates of Public and Private High Schools in Selected Counties, Fall 1984	11
3.	Ethnic Distribution of Men and Women Public High School Graduates and of First-Time Freshmen in the University Between 1979 and 1984, in Percents	12
4.	Percentages of 1983-84 Public High School Graduates Enrolling in the University of California, by Major Ethnic Group and Sex	13
5.	Ethnic Distribution of 1983-84 Public High School Graduates and Fall 1982, 1983, and 1984 First-Time Freshmen in the California State University, in Percents	17
6.	Number of Recent California High School Graduates Enrolled as Full-Time Freshmen in Independent Colleges and Universities in the Fall 1984 Term	24



#### HIGHLIGHTS OF THE FINDINGS

#### OVERALL RATE

- The percentage of recent high school graduates entering college in California in the Fall 1984 term fell below 60 for a second year -- from 57.2 to a new low of 56.0. The decline in the Community College rate was greater than the increase in the rate for the University of California, and the California State University and independent college rates remained virtually unchanged from the Fall 1983 term.
- The number of high school graduates decreased between 1982-83 and 1983-84 as well as the overall college-going rate, with the result that almost 5,700 fewer first-time freshmen from California high schools enrolled in the State's colleges and universities than in the previous fall term. The decrease was smaller than between 1981-82 and 1982-83 but brings the decrease over a two-year period to almost 20,000.
- The University's eight-year increase in its rate of enrolling first-time freshmen from California high schools has narrowed the gap between its rate and that of the State University to 1.4 percentage points, although the eligibility pool for the State University is about two and one-half times as large as that of the University. In other words, the University is now enrolling about 60 percent of its eligibility pool while the State University is enrolling less than one-third of its pool.

#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA RATES

- The University's rate of enrolling first-time freshmen from California high schools increased from 7.0 percent for the Fall 1983 term to 7.5 for Fall 1984 -- the largest percentage increase during the 11-year period for which college-going rates have been computed by the Commission.
- The University increased its take of first-time freshmen from California high schools by less than 1,000 students between 1983 and 1984 because of the decrease in the number of high school graduates in 1983-84.
- Five of the University's eight campuses enrolled more freshmen from California high schools in the Fall 1984 term than in 1983, while two enrolled about the same numbers both years and one had a significant decrease.
- Among the 33 counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates in 1983-84, 13 increased their rate of enrollment in the University by at least one-half percentage point over Fall 1983, while four had a slightly lower rate for Fall 1984 and 16 remained about the same.



-1-

#### CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY RATES

- The State University's rate for first-time freshmen remained at 8.9 percent in 1984 after attaining 9.0 percent from Fall 1980 to Fall 1982.
- Although the total number of first-time freshmen enrolled in the State University decreased between the Fall 1983 and Fall 1984 terms, six campuses had increases of at least 10 percent, including two of the smallest and one of the largest campuses. Seven experienced decreases of at least 10 percent, and the remaining six experienced changes of less than 10 percent.
- Among the 33 counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates in 1983-84, 12 had an increase of at least one-half percentage point between the Fall 1983 and Fall 1984 terms, in spite of a statewide decrease. Nine counties experienced a decrease of at least one-half percentage point, while the other 12 remained approximately the same.

#### CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE RATES

• The statewide rate for the Community Colleges fell to 36.3 percent for the Fall 1984 term -- a drop of 1.6 percentage points from the previous fall term and the lowest rate during the 11-year period for which the Commission has computed rates. The previous lows were 41.4 for 1978, 41.3 for 1974, and 37.9 for 1983. Student data-base problems in a number of Community College districts with respect to Fall 1984 enrollment, however, preclude detailed analysis of year-to-year changes.

#### INDEPENDENT COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY RATES

- Regionally accredited independent California colleges and universities reported a smaller number and percentage of California high school graduates enrolled as freshmen in Fall 1984 than in Fall 1983, but the statewide difference is not significant.
- For the 33 institutions reporting good data for both 1983 and 1984, the total numbers are almost exactly the same, while individual institutions differed in experiencing gains or losses.

#### SEX DIFFERENCES IN RATES

• The numbers and percentages of women high school graduates enrolling as freshmen in the University, the State University, and the Community



- 9

Colleges were higher than those of men in Fall 1984, as they were in the three previous fall terms. The difference between the rates for men and women was largest for the State University and smallest for the University.

#### ETHNIC DIFFERENCES IN RATES

- When ethnic data are combined for the three public segments of postsecondary education, the percentages of Black students in the ethnic distribution of first-time freshmen declined between Fail 1983 and 1984, while the percentages of Asian, Filipino, and Hispanic students increased. For both Black men and women, the percentages were lower than those obtained for the Fall 1979 term.
- The University of California enrolled larger percentages of Hispanic and Filipino students in Fall 1984 and a smaller percentage of Black students than a year earlier, but about the same percentage of Asian and American Indian students. Hispanics made the largest gain.
- In the State University, Asian and Filipino students of both sexes all increased their representation in the Fall 1984 term over the previous year. Percentages for Hispanic and American Indian students remained about the same while those for Blacks decreased.
- Missing data from several Community College districts and large numbers of freshmen whose ethnicity is unknown or "other" at some colleges affect the data on ethnic distribution of Community College students, but based on these data, fewer Asian students appear to be enrolling in Community Colleges while Hispanic and Black students appear to have increased their representation among first-time freshmen between Fall 1983 and Fall 1984.



#### BACKGROUND FOR THE ANALYSIS

This is the eighth annual report on the flow of students from high school to higher education in California, with information reproduced and updated from previous reports (Commission, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982a, 1982c, 1983b, and 1985). The first year for which college-going rates are computed is 1974, although the first report was not published until 1978.

A major purpose of these reports is to identify trends in college-going rates since 1974 and to analyze changes in rates experienced by the various segments of higher education and by counties in light of statewide trends. The reports also provide a basis for analyzing changes in the ethnicity of the first-time freshman class, compared with that of high school graduates.

Still another purpose has been to provide a service to the segments and others who do not have access to these comprehensive data. The value of the analysis is expected to increase as student enrollments shift in relation to changes in the cost of education, financial aid, admission requirements, and student preparation.

#### SCOPE OF THE REPORT

College-going rates for the three public segments of California higher education have been obtained annually since Fall 1974. Rates for regionally accredited independent colleges and universities have been obtained since 1977. In each instance, rates have been computed for each of the 51 of California's 58 counties with at least 150 high school graduates each year. Data on the ethnicity of 1984 high school graduates and first-time freshmen in the public segments are also presented for each county.

#### LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

The scope of the report is limited by the absence of information about the flow of recent high school graduates into private postsecondary schools that do not grant degrees. The inclusion of such students in the analysis would increase the overall participation rates reported in this document, particularly for urban areas. Two other gaps in information are the numbers of California high school graduates who enroll in colleges and universities in other states, and those who receive formal training offered under other auspices than colleges and universities -- for example, adult education programs of unified school districts. Thus, the college-going rates obtained in this study are underestimates of the percentages of young people enrolling in some type of postsecondary education after high school graduation.



- 11

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Statewide, segmental, and county college-going rates are obtained by dividing the number of first-time freshmen 19 years of age and under enrolling both full time and part time each fall by the total number of the same year's graduates of day high schools, both public and private. These rates, expressed as percentages, are believed to be the best available estimates of California college-going rates for recent high school graduates, in the absence of follow-up studies.

Numbers of high school graduates are obtained from annual reports prepared by the State Department of Education for both public and private schools. Student data tapes submitted annually to the California Postsecondary Education Commission by the University of California, the California State University, and the California Community Colleges are the source of information about the high school of origin for first-time freshmen. Information about first-time freshmen in independent colleges and universities comes from a special survey conducted with the cooperation of the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities.

Community College districts that submitted poor data tapes for 1984 were contacted by Commission staff in July 1985 in an attempt to correct errors or fill in missing information. Corrected information or estimates based on 1983 data were obtained for all but two districts, which were then combined with what appeared to be reasonable data from computer tapes to compute the Community College-going rates.



#### STATEWIDE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES AND COLLEGE-GOING RATES

The number of high school graduates and college-going rates for the University, the State University, and the Community Colleges are displayed in Table 1 below for an eleven-year period (1974 through 1984) and for the independent colleges and universities for an eight-year period (1977 through 1984).

#### NUMBER OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES

After decreasing since 1975, the number of public and private high school graduates increased between 1981 and 1982 by 2.2 percent or by 5,695 graduates and then decreased in 1983 by 1.4 percent or 3,764 graduates, and in 1984 by 1.7 percent or 4,527 graduates. Graduates of private schools comprised 9.9 percent of all graduates in 1983-84 and increased 1.5 percent between 1982-83 and 1983-84, compared with a decrease of 2.1 percent in public high school graduates.

TABLE 1 Statewide College-Going Rates for Recent High School Graduates, 1974-1983

	Number of						
	High School				Total	Indepen-	Grand
<u>Year</u>	<u>Graduates</u>	<u>UC</u>	<u>CSU</u>	CCC	<u>Public</u>	dent	<u>Total</u>
1974	289,714	5.1%	7.6%	41.3%	54.0%		
1975	293,941	5.3	7.5	43.1	55.9		
1976	289,454	5.1	7.8	41.7	54.6		
1977	285,360	5.2	8.0	43.3	56.5	3.6%	60.1%
1978	283,841	5.5	8.4	41.4	55.3	3.4	58.7
1979	278,548	5.8	8.7	42.1	56.6	3.4	60.0
1980	270,971	6.0	9.0	43.0	58.0	3.5	61.5
1981	260,229	6.4	9.0	42.1	57.6	3.3	60.8
1982	265,924	6.4	9.0	42.8	58.2	3.2	61.4
1983	262,160	7.0	8.9	37.9	53.8	3.4	57.2
1984	257,633	7.5	8.9	36.3	52.7	3.3	56.0

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



Of the 33 counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates in 1983-84, El Dorado, Imperial, Merced, Riverside, San Francisco, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Stanislaus, and Tulare counties all had more graduates in this most recent year than in 1971-72, when a statewide increase occurred after a six-year decline. Fourteen counties -- Alameda, Humboldt, Los Angeles, Marin, Monterey, Napa, Orange, Sacramento, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Sonoma, and Yolo -- followed the statewide trend of a two-year decrease in the number of high school graduates, while the remaining 10 counties displayed no trend during this same period. In any case, the statewide total number for all counties was smaller than any statewide total since 1968. Among the six counties with at least 1,000 private high school graduates in 1983-84, San Francisco had the highest proportion (35 percent), followed by Los Angeles (13) and Alameda (12).

#### STATEWIDE COLLEGE-GOING RATES

College-going rates by county and segment of higher education for 1974, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, and 1984 are displayed in Appendix A on pages 29-39. Distributions of first-time freshmen by ethnicity in Fall 1984 are shown for each county and segment in Appendix B on pages 41-55, together with high school ethnicity data for students who graduated in 1983-84. It appears that California's statewide total college-going rate for all segments of higher education decreased between 1983 and 1984 -- from 57 2 to 56.0 percent. The decrease occurred in spite of an increase in the rate for the University and virtually no change for the State University and the independent institutions.



#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION RATES

Although the total number of California high school graduates decreased between 1982-83 and 1983-84, the number of first-time freshmen enrolled at the University from California high schools increased by 5 percent, or 879 students. The increase during the two-year period -- Fall 1972 to Fall 1974 -- was almost 14 percent. The rate for Fall 1984 was 7.5, having risen from 5.1 in the mid-1970s. Among the counties with at least 1,000 high school graduates, the largest one-year increases in University-going rates were found for Yolo, Marin, Napa, Monterey, Ventura, and San Mateo in descending order of the size of the differences in their rates between the two years. Marin's rate for 1984 is more than twice as large as the statewide rate, and the rates for San Francisco, Contra Costa, and Yolo Counties are also far above the statewide rate of 7.5. On the other hand, the 1984 rates for 13 of these 33 counties -- Butte, El Dorado, Fresno, Humboldt, Imperial, Kern, Merced, San Bernardino, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Stanislaus, and Tulare -- are less than half the statewide rate of 7.5. The relatively high rates for Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco Counties -- all in the Bay area -- decreased slightly between Fall 1983 and Fall 1984, as well as the relatively low rates for El Dorado, Imperial, and Placer Counties.

Among the University campuses, Davis experienced the largest gain in number of first-time freshmen between Fall 1983 and Fall 1984 (474 students) and the second largest percentage gain (21 percent), at the end of a five-year period of decreasing freshman enrollments of California students. The largest percentage increase (24) and the second largest increase in number of freshmen were found for the Santa Cruz campus -- the second smallest University campus in terms of size of the freshman enrollment. Riverside -- with the smallest University freshman class -- increased 14 percent or 101 students from California high schools while San Diego, with almost three times as many new freshmen as Riverside, increased 11 percent or 236 students.

The Berkeley campus experienced the only significant decrease in number of freshmen -- 3 percent or 323 new freshmen from its peak enrollment of 3,801 new California freshmen in Fall 1983. The Los Angeles campus enrolled virtually the same number of new California freshmen in Fall 1983 and Fall 1984 -- an increase of only 30 freshmen -- and, with Berkeley's decrease, became the campus with the largest freshman enrollment from California schools. Santa Barbara also enrolled almost the same number of new freshmen both years (49 fewer in Fall 1984) but dropped behind Davis to fourth rank, in terms of size of the new freshman class. The Irvine campus showed a gain of 8 percent or 168 students and continued to rank sixth among the eight general campuses, but with 2,249 new freshmen in Fall 1984, compared with seventh-ranked Santa Cruz with 1,232 and eighth-ranked Riverside with 823 new freshmen. Thus the University general campuses vary widely in the number of new freshmen they enroll from California high schools each fall and in the percentage change in size of the new freshman class, during a period of overall growth in the percentage of California high school graduates enrolling in the University.



9-

#### PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SCHOOL RATES

First-time freshman enrollment rates at the University differ significantly for graduates of public and private high schools. Systemwide, in Fall 1984, 9.9 percent of the California freshmen had graduated from private schools and 90 percent from public high schools, but the public school rate of enrollment in the University was 6.9 percent, compared to 12.8 percent for private schools. Table 2 displays these two rates for eight counties with at least 800 private high school graduates in 1983-84.

Counties differ with respect to their percentage of first-time freshmen who are private high school graduates. University-participation rates from public and private schools in Orange and San Diego Counties are quite similar. In contrast, Los Angeles County had participation rates of 13.3 from private schools but only 7.3 percent from public schools. Los Angeles County has the largest number and one of the largest percentages of Black and Hispanic students among its high school graduates, as well as a high participation rate from private high schools. Public-private high school graduates from the various ethnic group are of some interest with respect to University enrollment. Among Hispanic students who enrolled in the University as first-time freshmen in Fall 1983, 26.1 percent had graduated from California private schools, as had 22.0 percent of Black freshmen. However, only 17.4 percent of the non-Hispanic white freshmen and only 7.4 percent of the Asian freshmen were private school graduates.

The reasons for this difference in participation rates between private and public schools are unknown, except for the obvious reason of self-selection of the private school population. The interaction of the factors of size of minority high school enrollments, private school participation rates, and University campus characteristics is beyond the scope of this report, although it is of considerable interest to those working to increase the participation rates for Blacks and Hispanics.

#### SEX DIFFERENCES IN RATES

Systemwide, the sex difference in University participation rates of recent high school graduates is 0.5 percentage points -- 7.2 for men and 7.7 for women, who comprise 50.6 percent of the State's public high school graduates. In Fall 1984, the percentage of first-time University freshmen who were men was 48 percent, compared to 52 percent women. Seven years earlier, the 1977 participation rates for men and women were 5.4 and 5.0, respectively, and only 49 percent of the freshmen were women in contrast to 51 percent men. Thus, the University-going rate has increased for women during the past seven years to a point where they have become the majority among first-time freshmen.

The ratio of men and women ranged among University campuses in Fall 1984 from 54:46 for Berkeley -- almost the reverse of the systemwide ratio of 48:52 -- to 41:59 for Santa Barbara. The proportions were about equal at



TABLE 2 University of California Participation Rates for Graduates of Public and Private High Schools in Selected Counties, Fall 1984

	Public	Private	Freshmen From All
County	High <u>Schools</u>	High <u>Schools</u>	High <u>Schools</u>
Alameda	7.7%	14.7%	8.5%
Los Angeles	7.3	13.3	8.1
Orange	8.7	10.9	8.9
Sacramento	5.4	12.7	6.3
San Diego	7.9	10.2	8.1
San Francisco	9.8	15.1	11.6
San Mateo	9.1	16.9	10.1
Santa Clara	8.8	13.8	9.2
All Counties	6.9	12.8	7.5

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.

Santa Cruz, while women were the majority at Davis, Irvine, Los Angeles, and Riverside.

Although 54 percent of the first-time freshmen on the Berkeley campus are men, University participation rates for recent male high school graduates in four of the six counties in the Bay Area are lower than those found for women. In Marin County, the rates for men and women were 15.5 and 18.0, respectively -- well above their statewide rates of 7.2 and 7.7.

For several relatively large counties in other parts of the State, the rate for men was equal to or higher than that for women. In Fresno County, for example, the rate was a low 2.6 for both men and women. This finding may reflect a preference of Fresno County residents to enroll at the nearby State University and Community College campuses, since there is no University campus in the area. It may reflect as well the large proportion of Hispanic students (one-fourth) in the County's high school graduating class, since Hispanic youth are less likely to enroll in the University than non-Hispanic students.

#### ETHNIC DIFFERENCES IN RATES

The ethnic distributions of public high school graduates in 1979, 1981, 1983, and 1984, and of first-time University freshmen in Fall 1979 through



Fall 1984 are displayed in Table 3. The most striking changes between Fall 1983 and Fall 1984 in the ethnic distribution of first-time freshmen in the University are the increased percentages of Hispanic men and women and Black women. Still, these percentages are well below the percentages for these same groups in the 1983-84 high school graduating class, which remained relatively stable during the last two years. Looked at another way, there were 127 more Black and 301 more Hispanic students enrolled as freshmen in the University in Fall 1984 than in Fall 1983, who together accounted for 49 percent of the overall increase in freshmen. Between Fall 1980 and Fall 1984, there was an increase of 389 Black and 683 Hispanic students, who together accounted for 35 percent of the overall increase in freshmen during this five-year period. An analysis of changes at the campus level between

TABLE 3 Ethnic Distributions of Men and Women Public High School Graduates and of First-Time Freshmen in the University Between 1979 and 1984, in Percents

			Ethnic Group					
Segment	Year	Sex_	American Indian	Asian	<u>Black</u>	<u>Filipino</u>	Hispanic	White
High School	1979	Male Female	0.7% 0.7	4.7% 4.5	9.0% 9.5	0.9% 1.0	15.0% 15.0	69.7% 69.3
	1981	Male Female	0.8 0.7	5.2 4.9	7.8 8.6	1.3 1.3	15.7 15.7	69.2 68.8
	1984	Male Female Total	0.8 0.7 0.7	7.5 6.8 7.2	8.2 9.2 8.7	1.7 1.7 1.7	18.0 18.3 18.1	63.8 63.3 63.6
University	1979	Male Female	0.3 0.2	13.9 13.0	3.3 5.4	1.6 1.9	6.9 5.9	74.0 73.6
	1980	Male Female	0.4 0:4	15.0 13.5	3.1 5.0	2.6 2.5	6.8 6.0	72.1 72.6
	1981	Male Female	0.4 0.4	15.8 14.7	3.4 6.0	2.5 2.8	6.7 5.4	71.1 70.7
	1982	Male Female	0.6 0.4	17.3 16.2	3.6 5.9	3.2 3.4	7.1 6.3	68.2 57.8
	1983	Male Female	0.6 0.3	18.3 16.3	3.8 6.4	2.9 3.7	7.7 7.9	66.7 65.4
	1984	Male Female	0.6 0.6	18.4 16.2	3.9 7.0	3.5 3.8	9.6 8.7	64.0 63.7

Note: Rows of percentages add to 100.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



Fall 1983 and Fall 1984 shows that Berkeley and Santa Barbara -- each of which had fewer freshmen from California high schools in 1984 than in 1983 -- had the largest increase in Black and Hispanic freshman enrollments.

After a four-year increase, the percentage of University freshmen who are Asian remained about the same for Fall 1983 and Fall 1984, although they continued to be an increasing proportion of the California high school graduates. However, their stability in the University ethnic distribution between 1983 and 1984 does not reflect changes at the campus level, that is, large increases in Asians at Davis, Irvine, and Santa Cruz and large decreases at Berkeley and Los Angeles.

Filipinos, comprising 3.5 percent of the University freshmen in Fall 1984, have increased steadily during the last five years in terms of numbers and percentages when data for men and women are combined. Like Asians generally, they comprise a larger proportion of the distribution of University freshmen than of California high school graduates.

For the first time, in Fall 1984, the number of American Indians among the University freshmen was greater than 100 (110, or 0.6 percent of the freshmen). The number has increased from 63 in Fall 1980 but remains too small to permit further analysis of change.

Percentages of public high school graduates who enrolled as freshmen in the University in Fall 1984 are shown for the major ethnic groups in Table 4. Graduates of private high schools, who have a higher rate of enrollment in the University than public high school graduates, are excluded from the computations because of incomplete ethnic data. Differences among the major

TABLE 4 Percentages of 1983-84 Public High School Graduates Enrolling in the University of California, by Major Ethnic Group and Sex

Major Ethnic		Sex					
Group	Male	<u>Female</u>	Total				
Asian	16.9%	17.8%	17.4%				
Black	2.6	5.0	3.9				
Hispanic	2.9	2.9	2.9				
White	6.1	6.8	6.5				
Total*	6.6%	7.1%	6.9%				

<sup>\*</sup>Includes all first-time freshmen from California high schools.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



ethnic groups and between the sexes are clear -- the Asian participation rate is 2.5 times greater than that obtained for all public high school graduates, and participation rates for women are higher than those for men except for Hispanics, where they are equal. Hispanics as a whole have the lowest participation rate (less than half that found for all public high school graduates), but the rate for Black men is lower than that for Hispanics. Within ethnic groups, the larges difference in rates for men and women is for Blacks, with a rate of 5.0 obtained for women and 2.6 for men. With the exception of Asians, numbers of women among the high school graduates and University freshmen were larger than men in 1983-84 in each major ethnic group.



#### CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY PARTICIPATION RATES

The State University rate for first-time freshmen from California high schools remained at 8.9 percent in 1984, after reaching a high and remaining at 9.0 percent for the three-year period ending in Fall 1982. Previously it had increased steadily since 1975, when it was 7.5. The State University's Fall 1984 freshman enrollment was about 300 students or 1.3 percent less than in Fall 1983, and was the smallest class of recent California high school graduates since 1977.

In Fall 1984, various campuses of the State University experienced gains and losses that increased the already large disparity in the size of their first-time freshman classes. Seven campuses -- Chico, Dominguez Hills, Fresno, Fullerton, Long Beach, San Jose, and Sonoma -- had freshman enrollment decreases of at least 10 percent, while five others -- Bakersfield, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, and San Luis Obispo -- all had freshman increases of at least 10 percent. Bakersfield and Dominguez Hills remained the campuses with the smallest number of freshmen who were recent high school graduates -- scarcely more than 200. San Diego remained the campus with the largest number of freshmen from California high schools, having increased to more than 3,000.

#### PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SCHOOL RATES

State University participation rates differ significantly for graduates of public and private schools, although the difference is smaller than that at the University. In Fall 1984, the State University rates for private and public school graduates were 12.1 and 8.6 percent, respectively, compared with University rates of 12.3 and 6.9.

Differences exist among the 19 State University campuses with respect to the percentage of their first-time freshmen who graduated from public and private schools. Statewide, the percentages are 86.6 and 13.3 from public and private schools, respectively. For campuses, the proportion from private schools ranges from 7.1 percent for Stanislaus to 21.3 percent for San Francisco. Private school representation at the five State University campuses in Los Angeles County also tends to be higher than that at campuses in other parts of the State outside the San Francisco Bay Area. Since State University students tend to enroll at the campus nearest their home, these proportions are closely related to the public and private school participation rates for the counties in which these campuses are located.

#### SEX DIFFERENCES IN RATES

Systemwide, the participation of female high school graduates enrolling in the State University as first-time freshmen in Fall 1983 was 9.7 percent,



compared with 8.1 percent for male graduates — a 1.6 percentage-point difference, compared to a 0.8 percentage-point difference in Fall 1977 when the rates were 8.4 percent for women and 7.6 for men, but little different than the 9.6 and 8.0 percentages of Fall 1983.

In Fall 1984, 10 of the 19 campuses varied by more than 5 percentage points from the systemwide ratio of 45 men to 55 women among first-time freshmen. On three campuses, men comprised at least 50 percent of the freshmen -- 58 percent at Pomona, 32 percent at Humboldt, and 51 percent at San Luis Obispo. On five others, however, men comprised less than 40 percent -- 36 percent at San Francisco, 37 percent at Dominguez Hills 38 percent at Los Angeles and Sacramento, and 39 percent at Sonoma.

#### ETHNIC DIFFERENCES IN RATES

Table 5 displays ethnic data by sex for the State University's first-time freshmen in Fall 1982, 1983, and 1984, as well as comparable data for 1983-84 high school graduates. Distributions for previous years are not shown since in those years, several campuses with large enrollments of ethnic minority students did not record the ethnicity of large percentages of their students, and, in Fall 1981, some students on some campuses were miscoded as American Indians because of confusion about the meaning of the term "Native American," when appearing beside the term "non-resident alien."

Asian students increased 9 percent as a proportion of the freshman class between Fall 1983 and Fall 1984, at a time when the total number of freshmen from California high schools was decreasing in the State University. Between 1980 and 1984, the number of Asians in the freshman class doubled, although the total number for all ethnic groups decreased 5.6 percent. Numbers and percentages of Filipinos also increased in the freshman class during that period although numbers were smaller than for Asians -- 2,713 Asians to 697 Filipinos in Fall 1984.

The number of Hispanic students remained approximately the same between 1983 and 1984 but the percentage for women increased in the ethnic distribution of freshmen as the percentage for men decreased to the point where the percentages for men and women are almost equal. For Blacks, on the other hand, the percentages decreased between 1983 and 1984 equally for both men and women, with the result that the three percentage point advantage remained that had been found for Black women in previous years. In terms of numbers, there were 68 fewer Black freshmen in the State University in Fall 1984 than in Fall 1983, or about 4 percent fewer.

A comparison of the ethnic distribution of high school graduates in 1983-84 with those of State University freshmen shows that Hispanic graduates are least well represented among the first-time freshmen in the State University, followed by Black students -- particularly Black men. Asian and Filipino students, on the other hand, show higher percentages in the State University ethnic distribution than among high school graduates.



TABLE 5 Ethnic Distribution of 1983-84 Public High School Graduates and Fall 1982, 1983, and 1984 First-Time Freshmen in the California State University, in Percents

			Ethnic Group						
Segment	<u>Year</u>	Sex_	American <u>Indian</u>	Asian	Black	<u>Filipino</u>	Hispanic	<u>White</u>	ρ
High School	1984	Total	0.7%	7.2%	8.7%	1.7%	18.1%	63.6%	Pnv
State	1982	Male	0.8	10.9	5.4	2.6	11.0	69.3	
University	ty	Female	0.8	9.5	8.9	2.5	11.1	67.2	
	1983	Male	0.9	12.4	5.6	2.6	11.9	66.6	
		Female	0.7	10.8	8.6	2.9	11.4	65.6	
	1984	Male Female	0.6 0.9	13.7 11.4	5.2 8.2	3.0 3.4	11.7 11.6	65.8 64.5	

Note: Rows of percentages add to 100.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission

Although numbers of Asian students increased statewide for State University freshmen, the number enrolling at the Long Beach campus decreased 18 percent between Fall 1983 and Fall 1984 (to 306), while the Pomona campus increased 83 percent (to 356) and the San Francisco campus, 31 percent (to 345). Blacks experienced a freshmen enrollment loss of 33 percent at Dominguez Hills (to 83), 50 percent at Hayward (to 53), but a gain of 32 percent at Los Angeles (to 95).

Five State University campuses in the Los Angeles metropolitan area enrolled 52 percent of all Hispanic freshmen in Fall 1984. Numbers on the various campuses differed little from Fall 1983. The Fresno campus accounted for another 8 percent of the Hispanic freshmen, also with little change in numbers during the past two years. Finally, 11 percent of the Hispanic freshmen were enrolled at the San Diego campus in Fall 1984 -- an increase of 16 percent over Fall 1983, to 268 students.

-17-

#### CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE PARTICIPATION RATES

#### PROBLEMS WITH THE DATA

The number of recent California high school graduates enrolling in California's Community Colleges appears to have fallen to significantly less than 100,000 in the Fall 1984 term -- the smallest number during the 11 years for which the Commission has computed college-going rates. However, at least 13 districts submitted student data tapes to the Chancellor's Office for Fall 1984 that contained gross errors with respect to information about first-time freshmen and one district with two colleges had not submitted a tape as of August 1, 1985. Commission staff contacted those colleges and districts whose freshman data was suspect in an attempt to learn about the nature of the errors and to obtain correct information. In most cases, agreement was reached with college staff about an appropriate number to be used in the computation of rates for Fall 1984, although corrections could not be made in the student data tapes.

Two errors in those tapes resulted in serious undercounting of first-time freshmen in Fall 1984:

- One major error was made in classifying as "continuing students" those first-time freshmen who had enrolled in a course at the college while attending high school or during the summer after high school graduation.
- Another major error was in the coding of the high schools from which the freshmen had graduated, with a wrong or fictitious code, or none at all. The high school code indicates the specific public or private California high school and the county in which it is located and thus distinguishes graduates of California schools from those who graduated from high schools in other states or foreign countries. Instructions from the Chancellor's Office request the colleges to enter onto the student data tape a code for the last institution attended by each new student -- high school in the case of first-time freshmen, and college or university in the case of transfer students. While some colleges carry out these instructions with a high degree of accuracy, an increasing number enter codes only for graduates of high schools in the county in which the college is located; some enter a county code for out-of-county graduates but no schoolspecific code; and some disregard the high school code entirely. An analysis of data for one large county showed that its recent high school graduates enrolled in Community Colleges at least 30 different counties, thus indicating the extent to which free-flow policies are operative and the need for obtaining high school codes for all first-time freshmen in order to document fully the flow of recent high school graduates to Community Colleges.

Still another type of coding error resulted in overcounting first-time freshmen by some colleges that coded high school juniors and seniors as first-time freshmen although they had not yet graduated from high school. Colleges making this error were usually able to subtract such enrollments from their first-time freshman data when they learned about the error, but the presence of such errors in previous years' data is uncertain, thus making year-to-year comparisons precarious.



Reasonably good estimates of Fall 1984 first-time freshmen have been made for all but two districts -- San Jose and West Valley, both in Santa Clara County. When data could not be corrected for particular colleges or districts, estimates were made by reducing 1983 data by whatever percentage loss in total enrollment the district experienced between Fall 1983 and Fall 1984. This technique probably overestimates numbers of first-time freshmen in Fall 1984 since losses of freshman enrollments generally exceed overall losses. In all instances, the largest reasonable estimates of first-time freshmen were used in the current computations.

#### CHANGES FROM FALL 1983

Both the number and percentage of recent high school graduates attending California Community Colleges dropped between Fall 1983 and 1984. The drop in numbers is in part attributable to the smaller number of high school graduates in 1983-84. Still, a smaller percentage of those graduates attended Community Colleges in Fall 1984 than in 1983 -- 36.3, compared with 37.9 in Fall 1983. The decrease was less than that found from Fall 1982 to 1983, when it dropped from 42.8 to 37.9 percent, but it was large enough that it cannot be accounted for by the enrollment gains of the University.

Among the largest counties whose colleges experienced large percentage losses are Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Contra Costa, and Riverside. Among the few counties with increases was Ventura, whose three Community Colleges all experienced small increases in first-time freshmen at a time when the number of graduates from the high schools in the county was decreasing. San Francisco and Santa Barbara City Colleges also appear to have recovered partially from the losses experienced in Fall 1983, with such gains reflected in higher county rates. San Mateo and Fresno Counties also show higher rates for Fall 1984 than 1983, but poor or missing data from districts in these counties in previous years suggest caution in interpreting any gains between 1983 and 1984.

#### CHANGES IN SEX AND ETHNICITY

The ratio of men to women among first-time freshmen in the Community Colleges appears not to have changed between Fall 1983 and 1984 and remains 48 men to 52 women. This stability is in part attributable to the use of Fall 1983 ratios for those districts submitting Fall 1984 freshman data that had to be revised with respect to numbers of recent high school graduates enrolling in their colleges.

While ethnic data are incomplete for Fall 1984, the proportions of Hispanic and Black men and women in the ethnic distributions of first-time freshmen appear to have increased between 1983 and 1984. At the same time, the proportions of Asians decreased. Still, numbers are probably smaller for all ethnic groups because of the overall decrease in first-time freshmen.



#### CHANGES IN SELECTED COUNTIES

Rates in Appendix A are computed for counties, rather than colleges and districts, in order to make comparisons with rates for other segments of higher education and because students may enroll in Community Colleges in counties other than those in which their high schools are located. Analysis of findings for a few counties with more than one Community College district illustrates the problems of computing Community College-going rates.

Los Angeles County: The Community College-going rate for Los Angeles County has declined from a high of 45.1 in Fall 1981 to 34.2 in Fall 1984 -- the lowest for the 11-year period for which rates have been computed. Changes in this rate are to a large extent a reflection of changes in freshman enrollment in the nine-college Los Angeles Community College District. The overall district loss in freshmen between Fall 1982 and 1983 was 24 percent, with an additional loss of 11 percent between Fall 1983 and 1984. All nine colleges experienced a significant decrease in freshman enrollment between Fall 1982 and 1983, as did six of the nine between Fall 1983 and 1984. The exceptions to the latest decrease were Trade-Technical College, which had experienced a loss of 50 percent of its first-time freshmen between 1982 and 1983; Harbor College, with a 30 percent loss during this same period; and Pierce -- with the district's largest freshman enrollment -- with a 1982-83 loss of 19 percent.

Long Beach City College is another large Community College in Los Angeles County. It experienced only small losses in freshman enrollment between Fall 1980 and 1983 -- less than 5 percent between 1982 and 1983. However, its student enrollment tape for Fall 1984 showed an unreasonably small number of Los Angeles County high school graduates enrolled at the College and a majority of the first-time freshmen with no high school code. Discussions with College staff about actual changes in enrollment led to an estimate for Fall 1984 that reflected a college-wide reduction in enrollment of 6 percent.

Unlike most other Community Colleges in Los Angeles County, Santa Monica College experienced an increase of 8 percent in freshman enrollment between Fall 1982 and 1983, but a decrease of 10 percent between Fall 1983 and 1984 -- its greatest in a four-year period. El Camino College also increased its freshman enrollment in 1982-1983 when the Los Angeles District colleges were experiencing a decrease, but it also had a 4 percent decrease between Fall 1983 and 1984. Finally, Compton College -- one of the smallest of the Los Angeles County Community Colleges -- also gained in freshman enrollment in Fall 1983 after a loss spanning several years but experienced a loss of 53 percent between Fall 1983 and 1984 that brought it to the lowest level during the period of the Commission's reports on college-going rates.

San Diego County: San Diego was one of the few counties whose Community College-going rate increased between Fall 1982 and 1983 (to 37.1 percent), at a time when the statewide rate decreased from 42.8 to 37.9 percent. However, the San Diego County rate decreased between Fall 1983 and 1984 -- to 35.8 percent, which was slightly less than the statewide rate of 36.3 percent. During this period, Community Colleges and districts in the County experienced various gains and losses in freshman enrollments which contributed variously to the changes in county rates.



The San Diego Community College District is the largest of the five districts in the County, with three colleges enrolling widely varying numbers of first-time freshmen. The largest -- San Diego Mesa -- increased its enrollment of first-time freshmen by 35 percent between Fall 1982 and 1983 but had a loss of 7 percent between Fall 1983 and 1984. Patterns of change were similar for San Diego City and Miramar Colleges, but percentage changes in their freshman enrollment were 15 percent or less. By Fall 1984, Miramar had 186 freshmen who were recent high school graduates; while City had 678 and Mesa 2,207.

The Grossmont District with two colleges and Southwestern with one followed the statewide trend of fewer first-time freshmen in Fall 1983 than 1982, and fewer again in Fall 1984 than 1983. However, Mira Costa College experienced a small increase in freshmen between Fall 1983 and 1984, after experiencing a 23 percent loss between Fall 1982 and 1983.

Palomar College, with 1,427 first-time freshmen in Fall 1982, gained 4 percent between Fall 1982 and 1983, but its Fall 1984 enrollment tape showed only 352 first-time freshmen who were recent high school graduates. An estimate was then made by adjusting its Fall 1983 figures by a factor equal to Palomar's college-wide enrol ment decline between Fall 1983 and 1984.

Santa Clara County: No rates have been computed for Santa Clara County because two of the four districts with four of the seven colleges could not provide usable data for fall 1984. The West Valley District, with a good record of submitting reliable data in the past, has not been able to develop a student enrollment tape for its colleges for Fall 1984 because of problems with its new software. The San Jose District has submitted data tapes each year, but these tapes have yielded what appears to be an unreasonably small number of first-time freshmen who are California high school graduates, particularly for San Jose City College. The problem appears to be the use of high school codes that differ from the standard codes used by State agencies. No estimates have been made for the San Jose colleges for Fall 1984 because of problems with the freshman data for earlier years.

The Foothill-De Anza Community College District submitted a data tape for Fall 1984 with apparently correct freshman data for Foothill College but a serious undercounting of first-time freshmen at De Anza College. Staff at De Anza was able to search student files for correct information that was then submitted in hard copy. De Anza appears to have enrolled 47.5 percent of the 1983-84 graduates of the public high schools in its service area in Fall 1984, compared with 58.5 percent in Fall 1983 and 47 percent or less in previous years. No reasonable county rate can be computed from these data because of other Community Colleges that serve local high schools in Santa Clara County. Information from the data tape for Foothill College does not lend itself to the computation of a local rate, but it is believed to be similar to that obtained for De Anza College.

Gavilan College, also in Santa Clara County, gained 5 percent in enrollment of first-time freshmen between Fall 1982 and 1983, but lost 13 percent between Fall 1983 and 1984.



-22- 27

#### INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

Thirty-seven regionally accredited independent colleges and universities in California reported an enrollment in Fall 1984 of 8,381 first-time freshmen who were recent graduates of California high schools and enrolled for the most part full time. (Eight other institutions from which data were requested had not responded as of August 1, 1985.) Among the 37 are four that did not provide data for Fall 1983 students, but data for the 33 institutions that responded both years shows that the number of California freshmen was almost exactly the same (3,039 in 1983 and 8,032 in 1984), although numbers for some institutions varied far more than this. The rate decreased from 3.4 to 3.3 percent, but the change is for the most part a reflection of the smaller number of institutions that provided information for Fall 1984. The rate for the eight-year period during which data have been collected has ranged from 3.2 to 3.6, with different institutions reporting each year and the quality of the data improving over time.

Numbers of California freshmen in each institution are shown in Table 6. Two conditions need to be kept in mind in interpreting the numbers in that table and in Appendix A:

- First, they include only recent graduates of California high schools and comprise a percentage of the total freshman enrollment that varies among institutions.
- Second, special-purpose institutions and those whose programs are designed for older students were invited to provide information, but no special effort was made to obtain it because of the small number of their students who are 19 years of age or under and enrolled full-time.

#### INSTITUTIONAL CHANGES IN ENROLLMENT

Among the institutions that have submitted information for these reports over a period of years, three show significant increases while five have lost enrollment of California freshmen. The increase at one of the three represents a partial recovery from a drop in freshman enrollment the previous year. Still another involves a two-year increase -- 16 percent in Fall 1983 over 1982, and an additional 13 percent in Fall 1984. The third institution increased its freshman enrollment of California students 180 percent between Fall 1978, when an apparent low point of 87 such students was reached, and Fall 1984.

The five institutions that experienced losses show three different patterns of enrollment change between 1977 and 1984. Three show relative stability through 1981 or 1982, followed by declines of 25, 33, and 40 percent. A fourth has experienced erratic changes in numbers of new freshmen -- increases and decreases from year to year -- but a low in Fall 1984 that is about equal to the lows it reported in 1979 and 1981. Only the fifth has declined sometwhat steadily since 1977, except for a one-time increase in Fall 1983, and enrolled 40 percent fewer California freshmen in 1984 than in 1977.



TABLE 6 Number of Recent Ca ifornia High School Graduates Enrolled as Full-Ti e Freshmen in Independent Colleges and Universities in the Fall 1984 Term

Institut <sup>-</sup>	<u>n ·                                     </u>	Number of Students
Biola University		251
California Baptist Coll	¢e	54
California College of F		40
California Institute of		65
Claremont McKenna Coll $\epsilon$	••	128
Cogswell College		4
Dominican College of Sa	. Rafael	52
Fresno Pacific College		64
Holy Names College		22
Humphreys College		28
Loma Linda University		161
Loyola Marymount Univer	ity	573
Marymount Palos Verdes	ollege	243
Master's College*		58
Mills College		108
Mount St. Mary's Colles	•	189
Occidental College		211
Pacific Union College		235
Pepperdine University		246
Pitzer College		86
Point Loma Nazarene		380
Saint Mary's College of	California	375
Scripps College		79
Simpson College		23
Southern California Co.	•	104
Southern California Co.	ege of Optometry	2 676
Stanford University United States Internat:	1 University	35
	nal university	226
University of La Verne University of the Paci:		539
University of Redlands	.c	207
University of San Diego		505
University of San Franc	500	240
University of Santa Cla		388
University of Southern		1,572
Whittier College	,	138
Woodbury University		124
TOTAL		8,381

<sup>\*</sup>Formerly Los Angeles | ptist College.

Source: California Po: .secondary Education Commission.



Recent graduates of California high schools are only one pool of potential students from which independent colleges and universities draw their freshmen. Thus, nothing about overall enrollment levels should be inferred from year-to-year changes in numbers of California freshmen. Improvements in reporting by some of these institutions since 1977 may also account for some of the changes in the numbers reported.

#### SEX AND ETHNIC DIFFERENCES

The sex of freshmen was reported by 31 of the 37 institutions participating in the 1984 survey, or for 81 percent of the 8,381 students. Among those freshmen, 57.7 percent were women and 42.3 percent men. Thus the percentage of California freshmen enrolling in independent colleges and universities who are women appears to be larger than that for freshmen in the University (52 percent) and the State University (55 percent). The ratio of men to women varies widely among the 37 independent institutions, with three enrolling only women as freshmen and one enrolling more than 80 percent men.

Ethnic information was provided by 21 of the 37 institutions and for 42 percent of the 8,381 freshmen. Percentages of men and women in the group whose ethnicity was reported are the same as in the total group -- 42 and 58, respectively. Among the students with known ethnicity, 9.9 percent were Hispanic; 6.5, Asian, 4.9 percent, Black; 0.7 percent, American Indian; and 78.0 percent, non-Hispanic white. Filipinos were not reported as a separate ethnic group, and 2.7 percent of the students were reported as "foreign," "other," or "unknown ethnicity." Percentages of freshmen who were reported by the independent institutions to be American Indian and Hispanic are similar to those reported by the University and the State University, but percentages reported to be Asian and Black are lower than those reported by the public universities. Independent institutions are not included in Appendix B because of the small numbers enrolled from each county.

Sex differences with respect to ethnicity need to be interpreted cautiously because of the small numbers in most ethnic categories. Expressed as percentages, differences are smaller than those found for the public universities but generally in the same direction -- for example, the percentages of freshman women who are Black are larger than those of men in each of the segments. The exception is Asian students, with the percentages of men who are Asian higher than women in the public universities but lower in the independent institutions.



-25~

#### CONCLUDING COMMENTS

- California's statewide college-going rate fell again in Fall 1984 to the lowest point in the 11 years for which the Commission has been computing such rates. This decrease occurred at a time when the number of high school graduates is declining and larger proportions of Black and Hispanic students, whose college-going rates continue to be lower than those of other ethnic groups, are enrolled in high school.
- The University of California increased its rate again in Fall 1984 to the highest in the period for which rates have been computed, but its increase did not compensate for the significant decrease in the Community College rate.
- The State University rate has changed little during the past five years, but fewer freshmen enrolled because of the smaller number of high school graduates in 1983-84.
- The independent California colleges and universities held their own with respect to enrolling California high school graduates in Fall 1984. Although gains or losses were experienced by particular institutions.
- The continuing decline in the Community College rate is likely to have a negative impact on the number of students in both transfer and occupational programs. It also reflects a decline in the enrollment of Black high school graduates in particular.
- While there is little doubt that the Community College rate has declined to a new low, errors in coding first-time freshmen on the Fall 1984 enrollment data tapes submitted to the Chancellor's Office reduced totals for some colleges well below actual enrollments. Thus any year-to-year analyses based on the uncorrected tapes are suspect since they most likely exaggerate the 1984 enrollment decline.



31

#### APPENDIX A

Percentages of Recent High School Graduates Enrolling in Each Segment of California Higher Education by County and Year, 1974, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, and 1984

Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen

		Number of		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	<u>Year</u>	Graduates	<u>uc</u>	CSU	ccc	pendent	Public	Total
Los Angeles	1974	90,817	5.7%	8.6%	38.5%		52.8%	
	1976	88,607	6.0	9.7	36.1		51.8	
	1978	83,753	6.4	10.8	41.3	4.3%	58.5	62.8%
	1980	79,389	6.5	10.5	41.9	4.8	58.9	63.7
	1981	72,747	7.4	10.7	45.1	4.2	63.3	67.5
	1982	76,814	7.2	10.7	41.0	4.4	58.9	63.3
	1983	76,814	7.6	10.2	37.0	4.3	54.8	59.1
	1984	75,213	8.1	10.0	34.2	4.0	52.3	56.3
Orange	1974	25,206	5.3	7.7	45.3		58.3	
	1976	27,200	5.2	7.9	46.1		59.2	
	1978	26,558	5.5	8.5	42.5	2.7	56.5	59.2
	1980	25,342	6.2	10.0	50.4	2.5	66.6	69.1
	1981	26,319	6.7	10.2	47.3	3.1	64.3	67.4
	1982	25,604	7.1	10.4	46.6	3.3	64.1	67.4
	1983	25,196	8.4	10.0	41.8	3.4	60.2	63.6
	1984	24,244	8.9	9.6	40.3	3.0	58.7	61.7
San Diego	1974	20,456	5.0	6.6	40.9		52.5	
	1976	19,547	5.4	6.3	46.4		58.1	
	1978	21,323	6.1	6.6	42.5	3.6	55.2	58.8
	1980	20,553	5.0	8.8	45.7	3.3	60.5	63.8
	1981	20,099	6.9	7.8	39.5	3.5	54.2	57.7
	1982	20,582	6.9	7.4	35.7	3.6	50.0	53.6
	1983	20,652	7.2	7.8	37.1	3.2	52.1	55.3
	1984	19,761	8.1	8.5	35.8	3.8	52.4	56.3
Santa Clara	1974	. 17,430	5.8	10.4	39.7		55.9	
	1976	17,856	5.5	10.2	39.3		55.0	
	1978	18,249	6.4	10.1	33.6	3.5	50.1	53.6
	1980	16,643	7.5	11.0	34.6	3.9	53.1	57.0
	1981	15,827	8.3	11.1	33.0	4.1	52.5	56.8
	1982	16,739	7.8	12.0		4.3		
	1983	15,927	8.8	11.6		4.2		
	1984	15,649	9.2	10.9		3.8		
Alameda	1974	14,167	7.2	9.2	40.2		56.6	
	1976	14,355	6.4	8.9	42.4		57.7	
	1978	14,023	7.2	8.9	39.2	2.7	55.3	58.0
	1980	12,862	7.7	9.1	35.2	2.3	52.0	54.3
	1981	12,148	7.9	9.1	37.6	2.5	54.6	57.2
	1982	12,278	7.8	10.1	41.9	2.1	59.8	61.9
	1983	11,829	8.6	10.0	38.2	2.4	56.8	59.2
	1984	11,750	8.4	10.5	36.5	2.4	55.5	57.9



### Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen

		Number of				-	•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	<u>Year</u>	Graduates	UC	<u>CSU</u>	CCC	pendent	<u>Public</u>	Total
San	1974	10,230	2.7%	4.7%	40.6%		48.0%	
Bernardino	1976	10,525	2.9	5.5	39.9		48.3	
	1978	9,899	2.9	6.3	39.2	2.7%	48.4	51.1%
	1980	9,687	3.0	7.5	41.4	2.1	51.9	54.0
	1981	9,611	3.0	7.3	45.2	2.7	55.4	58.1
	1982	9,836	3.5	6.9	48.4	2.5	58.8	61.3
	1983	9,568	3.4	6.7	40.5	2.8	50.6	53.4
	1984	9,702	3.6	7.1	35.3	2.0	46.0	48.0
0	107/	11 106	2 2		/0.3		50.0	
Sacramento	1974	11,106	3.3	6.6	42.1		52.0	
	1976	10,774	3.5	6.0	42.1		51.6	
	1978	10,812	3.7	6.7	42.0	2.3	52.4	54.7
	1980	9,651	4.8	8.7	47.1	1.9	60.6	62.5
	1981	9,586	4.6	9.4	45.2	2.0	59.2	61.2
	1982	9,555	4.0	8.6	40.7	2.0	53.3	55.3
	1983	9,046	5.9	9.0	40.9	1.9	55.8	57.7
	1984	9,029	6.3	8.1	37.6	2.1	52.0	54.1
Contra Costa	1974	9,884	9.0	8.4	43.9		61.3	
	1976	9,593	8.7	7.3	44.7		60.7	
	1978	9,489	9.2	7.6	46.2	3.2	63.0	66.2
	1980	8,847	10.0	9.0	46.5	3.0	65.5	68.5
	1981	8,734	10.5	8.0	44.7	3.3	63.2	66.5
	1982	8,768	10.4	8.0	44.9	2.6	63.3	65.9
	1983	8,342	11.7	7.8	42.6	3.5	59.8	63.3
	1984	8,428	11.6	8.2	38.9	3.2	58.7	61.9
Riverside	1974	6,415	5.1	3.3	38.2		46.6	
	1976	6,777	5.0	3.6	35.8		44.4	
	1978	6,857	4.8	4.1	35.8	2.0	44.7	46.7
	1980	6,728	5.1	4.8	44.5	1.8	54.4	56.2
	1981	6,831	5.1	4.9	46.8	1.9	56.7	58.6
	1982	6,961	5.0	4.8	41.4	1.8	51.2	53.0
	1983	6,883	5.1	4.3	37.4	2.4	46.8	49.2
	1984	7,104	5.8	4.8	33.9	2.5	44.5	47.0
Ventura	1974	6,492	3.4	4.2	46.3		53.9	
VCHC416	1976	7,099	3.7	3.8	44.5		52.0	
	1978	6,953	3.6	4.9	44.6	3.9	53.1	57.0
	1980	6,846	4.7	4.9	50.7	3.2	60.3	63.5
	1981	6,739	4.3	5.6	45.5	2.8	55.4	58.3
	1982	6,820	4.9	5.8	45.5	2.1	56.2	58.3
	1983	6,982	5.3	5.7	38.1	3.2	49.1	52.3
	1984	6,714	6.9	6.6	40.3	2.2	53.7	55.9
	1704	0,714	0.7	0.0	40.3	۷.۷	JJ.1	JJ.7



### Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen

		Number of		-g	<u> </u>		•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	UC	_CSU_	CCC	pendent	<u>Public</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<del></del>							
San Mateo	1974	8,131	7.0%	7.8%	42.9%		57.7%	
	1976	8,060	6.5	7.1	43.4		57.0	
	1978	7,462	7.8	7.2	40.1	4.2%	55.1	59.3%
	1980	6,970	8.8	8.1	(39.1)	3.9	56.0	59.9
	1981	6,314	8.4	9.0		3.9		
	1982	6,497	8.3	8.6		4.1		
	1983	6,333	8.9	7.7	39.7	4.6	56.3	60.9
	1984	6,105	10.1	8.3	45.2	4.0	63.6	67.6
Fresno	1974	6,638	2.1	12.6	42.7		57.4	
	1976	6,570	1.4	12.2	42.2		55.8	
	1978	6,629	2.0	12.1	39.4	2.1	53.5	55.6
	1980	6,603	2.2	14.6	39.7	1.5	56.5	58.0
	1981	6,256	2.7	14.3		1.4		
	1982	6,284	2.4	13.6	39.2	2.1	55.2	57.3
	1983	6,098	2.6	13.6	33.5	2.3	49.7	52.0
	1984	6,161	2.9	12.3	34.6	1.8	49.8	51.6
	1704	0,101	2.,	12.5	31.0			
San	1974	6,763	7.9	10.7	39.2		57.8	
Francisco	1976	6,467	8.2	12.2	38.8		59.2	
	1978	5,868	9.0	14.6	42.0	5.6	65.6	71.2
	1980	5,392	9.2	13.5	42.7	6.8	65.4	72.2
	1981	4,979	10.2	14.3	39.3	3.9	63.8	67.7
	1982	5,378	9.9	14.0	37.2	4.3	61.1	65.4
	1983	5,527	11.9	13.2	34.1	4.7	59.2	63.9
	1984	5,405	11.6	15.3	38.0	4.4	65.0	69.4
Kern	1974	4,841	2.3	6.9	44.2		53.4	
	1976	4,744	2.0	6.7	47.7		56.4	
	1978	4,753	2.0	6.6	46.2	2.2	54.8	57.0
	1980	4,561	3.4	6.5	(46.2)	1.7	56.1	57.8
	1981	4,283	2.3	7.4	44.7	2.8	54.4	57.2
	1982	4,077	2.7	6.7	40.4	1.5	49.8	51.3
	1983	4,175	2.2	5.5	32.5	2.0	39.1	41.1
	1984	3,915	2.9	7.2	32.7	2.3	42.8	45.2
Santa	1974	4,398	5.2	4.4	47.7		57.3	
Santa		•					59.7	
Barbara	1976	4,489	5.7	4.2 4.8	49.8 47.3	4.7	58.0	62.7
	1978	4,059	5.9			3.0	66.5	69.5
	1980	3,800	7.4	5.2 5.4	53.9		64.8	67.8
	1981	3,546	8.2	5.4	51.2	2.9		70.3
	1982	3,589	7.7	4.9	55.3	2.4	67.9	
	1983	3,459	8.8	5.2	36.9	3.5	50.9	54.4
	1984	3,363	9.2	5.7	41.5	2.8	56.5	59.3

ERIC

34

### Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen

		Number of					•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	<u>Year</u>	Graduates	UC_	CSU	CCC	pendent	<u>Public</u>	Total
C	107/	2 510	7 00	^ / W	/ O 78		/ O OW	
Sonoma	1974	3,518	1.9%	3.4%	43.7%		49.0%	
	1976	3,565	2.0	2.9	47.0		51.9	/O F8
	1978	3,626	3.0	3.3	40.7	2.5%	47.0	49.5%
	1980	3,436	3.4	4.3	44.6	1.9	52.3	54.2
	1981	3,423	4.0	4.1	44.0	2.1	52.0	53.9
	1982	3,466	4.2	4.4	37.9	2.0	46.5	48.5
	1983	3,443	4.7	5.2	44.6	2.0	54.5	56.5
	1984	3,390	5.0	5.1	57.1	1.6	67.2	68.7
Marin	1974	3,466	10.2	7.7	43.4		61.3	
	1976	3,339	10.0	7.7	49.3		67.0	
	1978	3,459	11.9	8.0	40.2	4.5	69.1	64.6
	1980	3,148	13.6	8.8	40.3	5.3	62.7	68.0
	1981	3,270	13.0	7.7	40.6	3.7	61.3	65.0
	1982	2,983	12.6	8.0	(40.6)*	4.0	61.2	65.2
	1983	2,940	15.4	8.8	26.1	3.2	50.3	53.5
	1984	2,750	17.7	8.8	29.3	3.9	55.8	59.7
		·						
San Joaquin	1974	4,116	3.4	3.6	45.5		52.5	
•	1976	4,121	2.2	3.0	56.8		62.0	
	1978	4,141	3.0	2.9	50.0	3.5	55.9	59.4
	1980	3,805	3.3	3.4	59.3	5.7	66.0	71.7
	1981	3,183	4.0	4.6	61.0	4.5	69.6	74.1
	1982	3,716	3.5	4.6	47.6	3.4	55.7	59.1
	1983	3,534	3.4	3.8	43.2	4.0	50.4	54.4
	1984	3,662	3.4	5.1	30.6	3.5	39.1	42.6
Tulare	1974	2,554	1.4	3.4	48.6		53.4	
	1976	2,721	1.8	2.9	46.4		51.1	
	1978	2,649	1.4	2.4	48.2	1.5	52.0	53.5
	1980	2,790	1.6	4.0	49.1	1.4	54.7	56.1
	1981	2,983	1.6	3.8	40.8	1.4	46.2	47.3
	1982	2,743	1.3	3.8	46.9	1.2	52.0	53.2
	1983	2,752	1.7	3.9	37.0	1.7	42.6	44.3
	1984	2,794	2.1	4.3	42.7	1.7	49.1	50.8
	1904	2,794	2.1	4.5	42.7	1.7	47.1	30.6
Stanislaus	1974	2,862	1.4	5.1	39.1		45.6	
	1976	2,771	1.9	4.7	44.6		51.2	
	1978	2,792	1.4	5.6	34.0	2.3	41.0	43.3
	1980	3,277	1.1	5.7	35.1	1.7	41.9	43.6
	1981	2,805	1.6	5.8	45.3	2.1	52.6	54.9
	1982	2,815	2.6	7.6	43.3	1.8	53.5	55.3
	1983	2,838	3.0	6.9	39.2	1.7	49.1	50.8
	1984	2,849	3.1	7.2	33.0	1.6	43.2	44.8



### Percentage Enrolling as Freshmen

		Number of					,	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	UC_	CSU	CCC	rendent	<u>Public</u>	<u>Total</u>
Salana	1074	2,542	4.3%	4.8%	39.6%		48.7%	
Solano	1974 1976		3.8		42.7		52.1	
		2,578		5.6		2.4%	50.7	53.1%
	1978	2,469	4.3	5.4	41.0			
	1980	2,782	5.4	5.6	40.3	2.1	51.3	53.4
	1981	2,794	4.7	5.0	39.2	1.8	48.9	50.7
	1982	2,755	4.4	5.7	41.8	1.4	51.9	53.3
	1983	2,679	5.2	5.3	38.4	1.6	48.9	50.5
	1984	2,707	5.8	5.2	32.4	1.4	43.4	44.8
Monterey	1974	3,006	4.8	5.4	58.4		68.6	
	1976	3,125	4.9	5.0	57. <del>9</del>		67.8	
	1978	3,064	4.8	3.9	56.7	3.0	65.4	68.4
	1980	2,836	6.0	4.7	50.3	3.3	61.0	64.3
	1981	2,686	6.0	5.6	46.3	4.0	58.0	62.1
	1982	2,803	5.5	4.0	46.1	3.4	55.6	59.0
	1983	2,795	5.4	4.8	35.2	3.5	45.4	48.9
	1984	2,742	7.1	4.6	31.3	2.9	43.1	46.0
	1704	2,742	,	4.0	31.3	2.,	.5.1	,,,,
Santa Cruz	1974	2,022	4.4	3.0	40.6		48.0	
	1976	2,117	5.3	2.9	39.6		47.8	
	1978	1,964	5.6	5.3	39.8	3.4	50.7	54.1
	1980	1,986	5.8	4.5	48.1	5.3	58.4	63.7
	1981	2,057	4.6	5.3	46.6	2.6	56.4	58.9
	1982	2,032	6.3	4.8	42.4	3.5	53.5	57.0
	1983	1,944	8.0	5.7	43.6	3.2	57.3	60.5
	1984	1,908	8.3	5.2	40.0	3.3	53.6	56.9
Placer	1974	1,589	2.0	3.7	39.1		44.8	
	1976	1,675	1.8	3.1	41.1		46.0	
	1978	1,838	2.1	3.8	36.5	1.4	42.4	43.8
	1980		3.7	3.1	48.5	1.3	55.3	56.6
		1,807				1.4	51.5	53.0
	1381	2,002	2.4	4.6	44.4			
	1982	1,863	2.7	4.9	38.4	1.4	46.0	47.4
	1983	1,803	3.8	5.7	40.0	2.1	49.5	51.6
	1984	1,842	3.7	5.8	40.2	1.7	49.8	51.5
Merced	1974	1,818	2.0	4.1	58.1		64.2	
	1976	1,853	1.6	5.6	52.5	•-	59.7	
	1978	1,891	2.5	5.2	51.6	1.2	59.3	50.5
	1980	1,790	2.3	7.3	59.3	0.9	68.9	69.8
	1981	1,808	2.3	6.3	55.4	1.4	64.0	65.4
	1982	1,564	2.4	7.4	56.6	1.0	66.4	67.4
	1983	1,679	2.3	8.9	39.5	1.2	50.7	51.9
	1984	1,717	2.5	8.2	38.7	0.6	49.4	50.1
		•						

		Number of						
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
<u>County</u>	<u>Year</u>	Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	pendent	<u>Public</u>	<u>Total</u>
Shasta	1974	1,368	1.5%	2.0%	52.8%		56.3%	
	1976	1,399	1.1	2.2	55.7		59.0	
	1978	1,537	1.3	2.0	55.0	1.4%	58.3	59.7%
	1980	1,520	1.6	3.2	(55.0)	1.7	59.8	61.5
	1981	1,533	1.6	3.3		1.3		
	1982	1,587	2.8	4.0	42.7	1.2	49.5	50.7
	1983	1,533	2.0	4.7	42.2	0.9	48.9	49.8
	1984	1,607	2.1	3.4	39.2	1.0	44.7	45.7
Butte	1974	1,462	1.9	13.3	38 9		54.1	
	1976	1,424	2.0	9.8	34.5		46.3	
	1978	1,356	2.6	11.4	39.2	1.8	53.2	55.0
	1980	1,473	3.0	13.1	42.2	1.7	58.3	60.0
	1981	1,491	2.9	13.3	45.3	2.4	61.5	63.9
	1982	1,492	1.8	13.1	(45.3)*	1.7	60.2	61.9
	1983	1,495	3.1	11.7	14.4	1.5	29.2	30.7
	1984	1,409	3.2	12.8	24.6	1.0	40.7	41.7
San Luis	1974	1,560	1.8	11.3	37.7		50.8	
Obispo	1976	1,557	2.1	10.1	45.6		57.8	
	1978	1,356	1.9	10.0	45.6	2.1	57.5	59.6
	1980	1,586	1.8	8.1	46.9	1.5	56.8	58.3
	1981	1,359	3.2	9.9	49.3	1.5	62.5	63.9
	1982	1,482	2.8	8.5	42.6	1.5	53.9	55.4
	1983	1,463	2.7	10.4	35.0	2.3	48.1	50.4
	1984	1,501	3.6	11.1	34.6	1.9	49.2	51.1
Turn a sei a 1	107/	1 050		0.0	46.3		50.	
Imperial	1974	1,259	2.9	2.9	46.3		52.1	
	1976	1,241	2.6	3.2	48.0		53.8	
	1978	1,348	1.9	3.2	43.8	1.3	48.9	50.2
	1980	1,312	2.0	3.5	48.3	1.4	53.8	55.2
	1981	1,357	3.1	3.3	38.5	1.4	44.9	46.3
	1982	1,306	2.5	3.4	52.8	2.3	58.7	61.0
	1983	1,2ó2	4.0	4.1	41.2	1.5	49.3	50.8
	1984	1,323	3.5	3.8	56.1	1.4	63.3	64.8
Yolo	1974	1,411	8.9	10.6	30.8		50.3	
	1976	1,259	10.2	9.8	35.1		55.1	<b>~-</b>
	1978	1,248	12.0	10.9	34.6	1.9	57.5	59.4
	1980	1,315	11.6	9.3	30.9	1.3	51.8	53.1
	1981	1,280	11.6	9.5	35.7	1.3	56.8	58.1
	1982	1,259	12.1	9.5	33.8	1.7	55.4	57.1
	1983	1,188	10.5	10.6	33.8	1.6	54.9	56.5
	1984	1,082	13.1	9.8	32.8	2.9	55.8	58.6



		Number of					•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	<u>Year</u>	Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	pendent	<u>Public</u>	<u>Total</u>
Humboldt	1974	1,601	1.3%	9.2%	31.6%		42.1%	
	1976	1,448	1.3	7.4	34.3		43.0	
	1978	1,422	2.0	8.2	32.3	1.5%	42.5	44.0%
	1980	1,328	2.3	9.9	(30.2)	1.5	42.4	43.9
	1981	1,199	2.6	10.0	45.6	1.3	58.2	59.5
	1982	1,171	3.1	10.0	37. <b>7</b>	1.4	50.8	52.2
	1983	1,105	2.6	10.8	33.0	2.5	46.4	48.9
	1984	1,072	2.6	8.7	16.0	2.1	27.3	29.4
Napa	1974	1,294	3.6	2.9	57.7		64.2	
	1976	1,297	4.1	3.5	48.7		56.3	
	1978	1,275	4.4	4.1	51.8	6.4	60.3	66.7
	1980	1,276	5.3	4.8	(51.8)	8.2	61.9	70.1
	1981	1,191	5.0	5.1		6.0		
	1982	1,212	6.3	4.8	64.4	6.7	75.7	82.4
	1983	1,203	5.8	6.4	30.5	4.1	42.7	46.8
	1984	1,124	7 <b>.7</b>	5.8	34.0	2.2	47.5	49.7
El Dorado	1974	800	2.4	5.8	29.6		37.8	
	1976	862	2.8	5.8	35.6		44.2	
	1978	932	3.4	8.7	35.7	1.8	47.8	49.6
	1980	916	4.7	8.4	(32.5)	2.4	45.6	48.0
	1981	1,014	4.5	7.5		2.8		
	1982	971	3 <b>.7</b>	3.4	38.2	1.0	50.3	51.3
	1983	964	4.4	7.5	35.8	0.7	47.7	48.4
	1984	1,042	3.6	8.7	38.9	0.6	51.2	51.8
Mendocino	1974	817	1.7	6.6	41.0		49.3	
	1976	848	2.5	7.4	34.1		44.0	
	1978	867	2.5	7.0	30.8	2.1	40.3	42.4
	1980	828	2.8	7.8	32.6	2.1	43.2	45.3
	1981	888	4.1	8.8	46.6	1.5	59.5	60.9
	1982	868	3.9	7.4	37.8	1.8	49.1	50.9
	1983	773	3.4	10.7	26.8	2.5	40.9	43.4
	1984	869	4.6	9.4	31.3	3.1	45.3	48.4
Kings	1974	1,006	1.9	6.2	33.6		41.7	
	1976	943	1.5	6.6	42.5		50.6	
	1978	984	1.8	5.1	41.1	2.5	48.0	50.5
	1980	903	1.6	6.9	43.3	1.9	51.8	53.7
	1981	887	1.9	7.7	44.8	2.1	54.4	56.6
	1982	899	1.3	5.9	44.2	2.3	51.4	53.7
	1983	856	3.2	6.2	46.3	1.6	55.7	57.3
	1984	843	3.1	8.4	41.4	1.1	52.8	53.9

County	Year	Number of High School Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	Inde- pendent	Total Public	Grand Total
0041103		4.444.555				pendeno	<u> </u>	10001
Sutter	1974	619	3.2%	3.4%	49.8%		56.4%	
	1976	693	2.2	4.3	50.6		57.1	
	1978	685	3.2	6.0	46.9	2.8%	56.1	58.9%
	1980	718	6.0	5.6	51.0	1.4	62.6	64.0
	1981	722	1.9	7.2	44.5	0.8	53.6	54.4
	1982	676	4.7	5.0	42.9	1.0	52.6	53.6
	1983	678	4.3	8.4	33.9	1.6	46.6	48.2
	1984	675	5.5	8.9	34.8	0.6	48.3	48.9
Madera	1974	539	2.6	11.7	32.5		46.8	
	1976	467	1.5	12.2	39.6		53.3	
	1978	552	2.3	10.9	38.6	3.4	51.8	55.2
	1980	570	1.8	11.9	43.3	3.2	57.0	60.2
	1981	711	1.5	8.7		1.5		
	1982	577	2.1	14.0	47.1	3.1	63.2	66.3
	د198	606	1.0	10.4	28.1	1.8	39.5	41.3
	1984	641	1.4	10.0	34.2	1.6	45.6	47.1
Nevada	1974	417	2.2	3.8	35.0		41.0	
	1976	497	1.2	2.0	36.4		39.6	
	1978	547	2.2	3.6	33.3	2.6	39.1	41.7
	1980	536	2.8	4.7	41.6	1.1	49.1	50.2
	1981	573	2.6	4.2	42.1	1.6	48.9	50.4
	1982	694	4.3	4.2	31.0	1.2	39.5	40.7
	1983	650	4.0	6.5	35.7	1.5	46.2	47.7
	1984	569	6.5	7.9	39.7	2.1	54.1	56.2
Tehama	1974	538	1.7	7.1	41.8		30.6	
	1976	486	2.3	6.8	44.8		53.9	
	1978	546	3.3	3.7	41.0	2.0	48.0	50.0
	1980	519	1.3	7.3	(41.0)	1.9	49.6	51.5
	1981	572	1.6	8.9		1.4		
	1982	560	1.6	6.6	45.5	1.4	53.7	55.1
	1983	514	2.5	8.0	37.2	1.9	47.7	49.6
	1984	553	2.0	8.9	36.0	0.9	45.4	46.3
Siskiyou	1974	571	1.9	4.6	37.3		43.8	
	1976	524	1.5	4.6	42.2		48.3	
	1978	525	1.2	7.0	46.1	1.3	54.3	55.6
	1980	532	2.4	5.4	(41.3)	0.6	49.1	49.7
	1981	503	2.0	5.4		1.6		
	1982	508	2.4	5.3	55.3	1.2	63.0	64.2
	1983	490	3.3	6.1	41.2	1.0	50.6	51.6
	1984	464	1.7	6.3	42.5	1.3	50.4	51.7



		Number of		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	Year	Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	pendent	Public	Total
Councy	1601	di duda ces				pendene	Tubite	10001
Yuba	1974	584	1.7%	2.6%	54.1%		58.4%	
IUDa	1976	516	1.4	1.7	61.0	>=	64.1	
	1978	485	0.8	3.9	57.1	2.1%	61.8	63.9%
	1980	502	1.6	3.2	50.0	1.8	54.8	56.6
	1981	485	2.5	3.7	48.7	3.5	54.9	57.3
	1982	490	1.8	3.3	40.0	1.0	45.1	46.1
	1983	452	2.4	5.3	42.3	2.4	50.0	52.4
	1984	433	3.9	4.4	39.0	1.8	47.3	49.2
	1704	433	3.9	4.7	39.0	1.0	47.5	77.2
Tuolumne	1974	368	2.5	6.3	32.9	•• =	41.7	
	1976	363	1.4	8.3	39.9		49.6	
	1978	374	2.4	8.3	28.3	3.2	39.0	42.2
	1980	420	4.0	6.7	39.8	3.3	50.5	53.8
	1981	383	1.8	4.7	44.1	1.8	50.6	52.4
	1982	399	3.8	4.0	48.6	1.8	56.4	58.2
	1983	450	2.4	7.1	37.8	1.6	47.3	48.9
	1984	412	1.5	6.3	42.5	1.7	50.2	51.9
Lake	1974	304	2.3	6.3	41.1		49.7	
	1976	305	2.3	6.6	42.6		51.5	
	1978	354	2.0	3.4	43.8	1.1	49.2	50.3
	1980	376	2.9	7.7	36.7	1.1	47.3	48.4
	1981	376	1.9	5.3	44.4	2.1	51.6	53.7
	1982	403	2.0	6.0	41.4	1.0	49.4	50.4
	1983	416	2.2	5.C	32.0	0.7	39.2	39.9
	1984	389	3.3	4.1	23.7	1.0	31.1	32.1
Lassen	1974	289	1.0	3.1	37.0		41.1	
	1976	284	1.4	2.5	54.9		58.8	
	1978	302	1.3	5.0	51.3	1.0	57.5	58.6
	1980	273	1.7	2.6	(52.3)	1.5	55.6	57.1
	1981	297	2.0	3.4	45.8	11.1	51.2	64.3
	1982	300	1.7	4.0	44.0	0.3	49.7	50.0
	1983	306	1.0	3.3	45.8	0.7	50.1	50.8
	1984	240	1.3	4.2	45.0	0.8	50.4	51.2
Calaveras	1974	207	1.0	1.4	32.8		35.2	
	1976	222	1.8	7.2	37.4		46.4	
	1978	247	1.3	6.5	37.7	4.0	45.3	49.3
	1980	323	1.9	9.6	32.5	0.9	44.0	44.9
	1981	289	1.0	7.6	40.8	0.7	49.5	50.2
	1982	293	4.4	8.2	33.1	1.4	45.7	47.1
	1983	305	2.6	6.2	40.0	4.3	48.8	53.1
	1984	307	2.9	7.5	31.6	2.3	42.0	44.3

		Number of					•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	<u>Year</u>	Graduates	UC	CSU	CCC	pendent	<u>Public</u>	Total
C1	107/	202	/ 00/	0 00	00.00			
Glenn	1974	303	4.0%	9.2%	22.8%		36.0%	
	1976	344	1.7	10.8	31.7		44.2	
	1978	309	3.2	9.1	23.9	2.6%	36.2	38.8%
	1980	299	5.7	9.4	45.5	0.3	60.6	60.9
	1981	286	2.1	7.7	48.6	1.4	58.4	59.8
	1982	322	4.0	8.1	21.4	1.6	33.5	35.1
	1983	290	2.4	9.3	21.0	1.7	32.7	34.4
	1984	263	3.8	11.8	25.5	0.8	41.0	41.8
Plumas	1974	243	0.4	11.5	34.6		46.5	
	1976	255	1.6	7 8	29.8		39.2	
	1978	252	0.0	11.1	34.5	0.4	45.6	46.0
•	1980	277	1.1	9.0	36.4	1.4	46.5	47.9
	1981	280	4.6	6.8	48.9	2.9	60.3	63.6
	1982	276	2.2	5.1	27.9	1.1	35.2	
	1983	242	1.7	6.2	37.6			36.3
	1984	252	3.6	8.3		2.5	45.5	48.0
	1904	252	3.0	0.3	43.7	0.8	55.6	56.3
San Benito	1974	254	3.2	7.1	44.9		55.2	
	1976	276	2.2	8.7	45.3		56.2	
	1978	256	3.5	9.4	44.5	5.1	57.4	62.5
	1980	246	2.8	6.1	41.9	9.3	50.8	60.1
	1981	259	3.5	7.3	44.0	5.8	56.8	62.5
	1982	233	3.9	3.4	40.3	4.3	47.6	51.9
	1983	309	1.7	5.6	35.9	2.3	43.2	45.5
	1984	277	2.2	5.8	27.8	2.5	35.7	38.3
Inyo	1974	299	4.4	8.4	30.1		42.9	
, -	1976	270	5.2	7.0	31.8		44.0	
	1978	281	3.9	6.8	31.3	11.5	42.0	43.1
	1980	227	2.6	6.6	40.1	9.3		
	1981	216	3.2	10.2			49.3	58.6
	1982	267	2.2		36.6	1.9	50.0	51.8
	1982			8.2	27.3	5.6	37.7	43.3
		233	3.4	11.6	26.6	1.3	41.6	42.9
	1984	244	4.9	8.2	36.1	1.6	49.2	50.8
Amador	1974	153	3.9	10.4	29.4		43.7	
	1976	183	1.6	4.9	50.8		57.3	
	1978	239	1.3	8.8	30.1	1.7	40.2	41.9
	1980	223	3.1	6.3	31.4	1.3	40.8	42.1
	1981	197	2.5	8.1	34.0	4.1	44.6	48.7
	1982	226	1.8	6.2	24.3	4.0	32.3	36.3
	1983	193	4.1	12.4	33.7	4.1	50.2	54.3
	1984	230	1.7	5.7	32.6	3.0	48.7	51.7
		<del></del> -			<del>-</del>			

		Number of					•	
		High School				Inde-	Total	Grand
County	<u>Year</u>	Graduates	UC	<u>CSU</u>	CCC	<u>pendent</u>	Public	Total
Del Norte	1974	249	0.4%	7.2%	25.7%		33.3%	
	1976	241	1.6	8.3	32.0		41.9	
	1978	201	2.0	7.0	24.4	0.5%	33.4	33.9%
	1980	184	0.5	8.1	(26.3)	0.5	34.9	35.4
	1981	197	1.0	7.1		1.0		
	1982	218	0.9	8.7	25.2	0.0	34.8	34.8
	1983	215	2.8	3.7	19.5	0.9	26.0	26.9
	1984	177	1.1	5.1	18.6	0.6	24.8	25.4
TOTAL**	1974	289,714	5.1	7.6	41.3		54.0	
	1975	293,941	5.3	7.5	43.1		55.9	
	1976	289,454	5.1	7.8	41.7		54.6	
	1977	285,360	5.2	8.0	43.3	3.6	56.5	60.1
	1978	283,841	5.5	8.4	41.4	3.4	55.3	58.7
	1979	278,548	5.8	8.7	42.1	3.4	56.6	60.0
	1980	270,971	6.0	9.0	43.0	3.5	58.0	61.5
	1981	260,229	6.4	9.0	42.1	3.3	57.6	60.8
	1982	265,924	6.4	9.0	42.8	3.2	58.2	61.4
	1983	262,160	7.0	8.9	37.9	3.4	53.8	57.2
	1984	257,633	7.5	8.9	36.3	3.3	52.7	56.0

<sup>\*</sup>Rates are for Fall 1981, since 1982 data are not available.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Percents were not calculated for Colusa, Trinity, Modoc, Mariposa, Mono, Sierra, and Alpine Counties because of the small numbers of high school graduates. However, data for these counties are included in the "Total" figures.

APPENDIX B

Ethnic Distribution of 1984 Graduates of Public and Private California High Schools, and 1984 First-Time Freshmen at the University of California, the California State University, and the California Community Colleges, by County and Sex, in Percents

						Ethnic	Group			
	_			Amer-			_			Ethnic
Carmboo	Seg-	٠.		ican		Fili-	His-			Data
<u>County</u>	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	panic	Black	<u>White</u>	<u>Missing</u>
Los Angeles	HS	T	65,319	0.2%	9.1%	1.5%	27.6%	15.7%	45.9%	0.0%
	UC	M	2,727	0.4	21.0	3.6	13.3	6.8	54.9	2.7
		F	3,035	0.8	19.7	4.4	12.3	12.2	50.6	2.9
		T	5,762	0.6	20.3	4.0	12.8	9.6	52.7	2.8
	CSU	M	3,233	0.2	18.0	2.6	16.1	8.4	54.7	4.1
		F	3,666	0.5	15.4	0.9	15.3	13.8	54.1	3.3
		T	6,899	0.4	16.6	1.7	15.7	11.3	54.3	3.7
	ccc	M	10,908	1.2	7.1	2.2	23.4	16.3	49.8	2.0
		F	11,701	1.0	5.5	1.9	24.5	18.8		3.0
		T	22,609	1.1					48.4	2.8
		•	22,009	1.1	6.3	2.0	24.0	17.6	49.0	2.9
Orange	HS	T	22,597	0.6	7.9	0.4	11.1	1.4	78.6	0.0
	UC	M	977	0.6	22.6	1.0	6.8	0.5	68.5	4.0
		F	1,037	0.5	21.9	1.3	6.2	1.4	68.7	2.7
		T	2,014	0.5	22.2	1.2	6.5	1.0	68.6	3.3
	CSU	M	1,019	0.8	16.5	1.1	6.3	0.5	74.8	3.0
		F	1,203	0.7	12.3	1.0	6.2	1.7	78.1	1.7
		T	2,222	0.8	14.2	1.1	6.3	1.1	76.5	2.3
	ССС	M	4,558	1.1	8.4	0.8	9.8	1.2	78.6	1.4
		F	4,818	1.2	6.5	0.9	10.6	0.9	79.8	1.3
		T	9,376	1.2	7.4	0.9	10.2	1.1	79.3	1.3
San Diego	HS	T	18,242	0.5	5.7	3.7	15.7	6.4	68.0	0.0
	UC	M	716	0.7	12.0	6.7	8.8	2.5	69.3	4.7
		F	787	0.4	8.5	7.8	8.8	5.4	69.1	3.4
		T	1,503	0.5	10.1	7.3	8.8	4.1	69,2	3.9
	csu	M	755	0.4	10.1	8.6	10.6	3.0	67.3	0.9
		F	871	0.7	4.6	7.1	11.8	5.3	70.5	2.0
		T	1,626	0.6	7.1	7.8	11.3	4.2	69.0	1.5
	ccc	M	2,576	1.7	5.9	4.9	9.6	6.7	71.2	1.5
		F	2,774	1.2	5.2	5.0	11.5	7.2	69.9	1.1
		T	5,350	1.4	5.5	5.0	10.6	7.2	70.5	1.3
		•	5,550	1.7	٠.5	5.0	10.0	7.0	70.5	1.5

-41-

				Ethnic Group						
	_			Amer'-						Ethnic
	Seg-	_		ican		Fili-	His-			Data
County	<u>ment</u>	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	panic	<u>Black</u>	<u>White</u>	Missing
Santa Clara	HS	T	14,202	0.7%	11.5%	2.1%	14.9%	3.4%	67.4%	0.0%
	UC	M	686	0.1	22.3	2.6	4.4	1.3	69.3	3.1
		F	685	0.1	18.2	2.5	4.1	1.8	73.3	3.0
		T	1,371	0.1	20.3	2.6	4.2	1.5	71.3	3.1
	CSU	М	728	0.7	15.8	2.7	6.7	1.3	72.8	2.3
		F	905	2.1	14.4	3.6	6.2	2.4	71.3	2.3
		T	1,633	1.5	15.0	3.2	6.4	1.9	72.0	2.3
	ССС	М	1,238	3.3	11.1	3.2	12.5	2.8	67.0	3.9
		F	1,389	3.0	6.3	3.8	14.2	4.8	67.8	3.0
		T	2,627	3.1	8.6	3.5	13.4	3.9	67.5	3.4
Alameda	HS	T	10,372	0.7	7.3	2.9	10.2	18.8	60.1	0.0
	UC	М	457	0.9	19.5	6.3	7.7	8.3	57.3	2.0
		F	485	1.4	16.7	6.8	5.6	15.1	54.4	2.1
		T	942	1.2	18.0	6.6	6.6	11.8	55.8	2.0
	CSU	М	476	2.5	14.3	5.0	7.1	11.4	59.7	1.9
		F	710	0.7	13.9	4.5	7.5	18.6	54.5	2.5
		T	1,186	1.4	14.1	4.7	7.3	15.7	56.8	2.3
	CCC	М	1,957	1.1	9.5	4.5	10.1	45.5	59.3	1.6
		F	2,083	2.0	7.0	4.1	9.2	22.0	55.7	1.4
		T	4,040	1.6	8.2	4.3	9.6	18.9	57.4	1.5
San Bernardino	HS	T	9,275	0.5	2.1	0.4	16.4	6.6	74.0	0.0
permardino	UC	M	162	1.2	8.6	2.5	13.0	2.5	72.2	3.1
		F	170	1.8	5.9	1.8	11.2	11.2	68.1	5.3
		T	332	1.5	7.3	2.1	12.0	6.9	70.2	4.2
	CSU	M	332	0.7	7.6	2.3	13.5	5.6	70.3	4.3
		F	357	0.8	5.6	0.3	13.4	9.8	70.1	3.1
		T	650	0.8	6.5	1.2	13.5	7.8	70.2	3.6
	CCC	М	1,493	2.2	2.9	4.0	14.6	8.2	68.1	1.6
		F	1,762	2.3	1.7	3.6	16.1	8.1	68.2	1.8
		T	3,255	2.2	2.3	3.8	15.4	8.1	68.1	1.7

				Ethnic Group						
	_			Amer-			_			Ethnic
	Seg-			ican		Fili-	His-			Data
County	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	<u>panic</u>	Black	White	Missing
Sacramento	HS	T	8,192	1.2%	7.9%	1.2%	9.5%	10.6%	69.6%	0.0%
	UC	M	234	0.9	17.9	1.3	8.5	7.7	63.7	3.8
		F	303	0.3	16.5	1.7	8.6	10.2	62.7	1.6
		T	537	0.6	17.1	1.5	8.6	9.1	63.1	2.6
	CSU	M	293	1.0	7.5	1.0	8.3	5.8	76.4	2.4
		F	410	0.8	8.3	1.2	8.5	7.8	73.4	0.7
		T	703	0.9	8.0	1.1	8.4	7.0	74.6	1.4
	ССС	M	1,536	2.1	7.0	1.7	8.3	9.0	71.9	1.2
		F	1,708	1.5	4.9	1.8	8.6	12.3	70.8	1.4
		T	3,244	1.8	5.9	1.8	8.5	10.7	71.3	1.3
Contra Costa	HS	T	7,729	0.5	5.8	1.4	6.8	11.1	74.3	0.0
	UC	M	441	0.2	12.5	2.9	5.4	2.7	76.3	1.6
		F	497	0.2	14.5	2.6	5.2	6.2	71.3	2.2
		T	938	0.2	13.5	2.8	5.3	4.6	73.6	1.9
	csu	M	301	0.3	9.0	2.0	3.3	6.3	79.1	2.7
		F	358	0.6	6.4	2.2	2.2	5.3	83.3	3.1
		T	659	0.5	7.6	2.1	2.7	5.8	81.3	2.9
	ССС	M	1,484	0.3	6.0	0.1	6.1	10.2	77.2	8.3
		F	1,497	0.9	4.3	0.5	6.7	8.6	79.0	8.7
		T	2,981	0.6	5.1	0.3	6.4	9.4	78.1	8.5
Riverside	HS	T	6,700	0.6	2.0	0.2	21.0	7.3	68.7	0.0
	UC	M	171	1.8	8.8	3.5	12.8	4.1	69.0	4.1
		F	210	0.0	11.4	1.4	8.1	7.6	71.5	2.4
		T	381	0.8	10.2	2.4	10.2	6.0	70.4	3.1
	CSU	M	163	0.6	3.7	1.2	9.2	4.3	81.0	4.3
		F	164	0.7	3.0	0.6	15.2	6.7	73.8	1.8
		T	327	0.6	3.3	0.9	12.2	5.5	77.5	3.1
	ССС	M	1,117	3.0	2.1	0.9	22.3	6.1	65.6	1.6
		F	1,232	1.4	1.5	1.3	19.1	7.4	69.4	1.6
		T	2,349	2.1	1.8	1.1	20.6	6.8	67.6	1.6

				Ethnic Group						
				Amer-						Ethnic
	Seg-			ican		Fili-	His-			Data
County	<u>ment</u>	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	<u>panic</u>	<u>Black</u>	White	Missing
Ventura	HS	T	6,088	1.4%	3.3%	1.5%	17.2%	2.2%	74.4%	0.0%
	UC	M	202	0.5	12.4	3.0	13.3	2.0	68.8	4.0
		F	231	0.9	8.7	3.9	10.4	3.9	72.2	2.1
		T	433	0.7	10.4	3.5	11.8	3.0	70.6	3.0
	csu	M	191	0.0	4.2	2.1	12.6	1.0	80.1	1.0
		F	237	1.7	4.2	3.4	8.0	1.3	81.4	2.1
		T	428	0.9	4.2	2.8	10.0	1.2	80.9	1.6
	CCC	М	1,288	1.6	2.7	1.5	19.6	2.5	72.1	0.0
		F	1,268	1.3	2.5	2.2	16.1	3.1	74.8	0.7
		T	2,556	1.4	2.6	1.8	17.9	2.8	73.4	0.3
San Mateo	HS	T	5,306	0.4	9.1	5.0	12.4	7.4	65.7	0.0
	UC	М	274	0.0	18.3	4.0	2.9	1.8	73.0	1.8
		F	312	0.0	14.7	4.8	7.4	1.3	71.8	2.9
		T	586	0.0	16.4	4.4	5.3	1.5	72.4	2.4
	csu	M	189	0.0	15.3	4.8	6.9	3.2	69.8	6.3
		F	279	1.1	12.5	6.1	4.3	4.7	71.3	3.9
		T	468	0.6	13.7	5.6	5.3	4.1	70.7	4.9
	CCC	M	1,322	1.0	8.9	5.1	10.0	5.9	69.1	1.9
		F	1,373	0.7	7.6	4.7	9.8	5.1	72.0	2.0
		T	2,695	0.8	8.3	4.9	9.9	5.5	70.5	2.0
Fresno	HS	T	5,796	1.0	5.8	0.6	29.9	6.5	56.2	0.0
	UC	M	91	1.1	22.0	0.0	17.6	4.4	57.9	4.3
		F	79	0.0	13.9	3.8	13.9	2.5	6~.9	0.0
		T	170	0.6	18.2	1.8	15.9	3.5	60.0	2.4
	CSU	M	301	1.0	7.6	2.0	19.2	5.0	65.2	2.0
		F	421	1.7	8.6	0.7	19.0	4.0	66.0	1.4
		T	722	1.4	8.2	1.2	19.1	4.4	65.7	1.7
	CCC	M	993	1.9	5.3	1.0	29.2	6.0	56.5	1.3
		F	1,035	1.8	4.2	0.5	30.9	6.2	56.4	1.6
		T	2,028	1.9	4.7	0.7	30.1	6.1	56.5	1.5

				Ethnic Group						
				Amer-						Ethnic
	Seg-	_		ican		Fili-	His-			Data
County	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	<u>panic</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>White</u>	Missing
San Francisco	HS	T	3,526	0.5%	45.3%	9.7%	13.7%	15.5%	15.3%	0.0%
	UC	M	300	0.3	45.3	7.0	8.7	3.7	35.0	2.0
		F	29 2	0.7	40.8	6.2	4.8	3.8	43.7	0.7
		T	592	0.5	43.0	6.6	6.8	3.7	39.4	1.4
	csu	M	310	0.0	44.2	11.0	11.9	8.7	24.2	6.1
		F	429	0.2	39.9	14.0	8.2	18.1	19.6	7.2
		T	739	0.1	41.8	12.7	9.7	14.2	21.5	6.8
	CCC	M	986	0.3	42.5	9.3	11.9	13.2	22.8	1.4
		F	968	0.1	37.1	10.5	14.7	17.1	20.5	2.2
		T	1,954	0.2	39.8	9.9	13.3	15.1	21.6	1.8
Kern	HS	T	3,724	1.3	1.9	1.8	21.9	6.3	66.8	0.0
	UC	M	59	0.9	8.5	3.4	8.5	6.8	72.8	1.7
		F	52	0.0	1.9	9.6	21.1	7.8	59.6	1.8
		T	111	0.0	5.4	6.3	14.4	7.2	66.7	1.8
	csu	M	110	1.8	3.6	0.0	16.4	5.5	72.7	4.5
		F	155	1.3	1.9	1.9	20.0	3.9	70.8	0.6
		T	265	1.5	2.6	1.1	18.5	4.5	71.8	2.3
	ССС	M	628	2.9	1.6	1.4	16.7	8.4	72.0	0.0
		F	622	3.7	1.3	0.6	16.4	5.3	72.7	0.2
		T	1,250	3.3	1.4	1.0	16.6	5.4	72.3	0.1
Santa Barbara	HS	T	2,914	0.5	3.4	0.8	18.0	3.3	74.0	0.0
Daibara	UC	M	117	0.0	6.8	0.9	10.3	1.7	80.3	0.9
		F	184	0.5	8.2	0.5	9.8	1.6	79.4	2.1
		T	301	0.3	7.5	0.7	10.0	1.7	79.7	1.7
	csu	M	80	0.0	2.5	3.7	16.3	0.0	77.5	0.0
		F	107	1.9	2.8	1.9	10.3	3.7	79.4	0.0
		T	187	1.1	2.7	2.7	12.8	2.1	78.6	0.0
	CCC	M	584	1.0	3.1	0.5	16.8	3.6	75.0	1.5
		F	650	1.5	2.5	0.8	12.9	4.2	78.2	0.6
		T	1,234	1.3	2.8	0.6	14.7	3.9	76.7	1.0



47

-45-

				Ethnic Group						
County	Seg- ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	Amer- ican <u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	Fili- pino	His- panic	Black	White	Ethnic Data <u>Missing</u>
Sonoma	HS	T	2,942	0.9%	2.6%	0.5%	6.7%	1.5%	87.8%	0.0%
	UC	M	78	0.0	5.1	2.6	6.4	0.0	85.9	2.6
		F	83	0.0	4.8	3.6	4.8	2.4	84.4	3.6
		T	161	0.0	5.0	3.1	5.6	1.3	85.0	3.1
	csu	M	65	4.6	3.1	0.0	1.5	1.5	89.3	3.1
		F	101	0.0	4.0	1.0	4.0	1.0	90.0	1.0
		T	166	1.8	3.6	0.6	3.0	1.2	89.8	1.8
	CCC	M	395	2.0	2.3	1.5	5.3	1.5	87.3	3.8
		F	403	1.2	2.0	0.0	4.2	1.0	91.6	2.4
		T	798	1.6	2.1	0.8	4.8	1.2	89.5	3.1
Marin	HS	T	2,335*	0.0	4.5	0.2	1.9	3.5	89.9	0.0
	UC	M	205	0.0	5.4	0.5	5.4	1.0	87.7	6.3
		F	246	0.0	2.8	0.4	2.4	0.8	93.6	5.3
		T	451	0.0	4.0	0.4	3.8	0.9	90.9	5.8
	CSU	M	112	0.0	1.8	0.0	2.7	2.7	92.8	2.7
		F	122	0.8	4.9	0.0	0.8	1.6	91.9	0.0
		T	234	0.4	3.4	0.0	1.7	2.1	92.4	1.3
	CCC	M	214	0.5	2.8	0.5	4.7	3.7	87.8	4.5
		W	198	0.5	3.0	0.5	3.0	3.5	89.4	4.8
		T	412	0.5	2.9	0.5	3.9	3.6	88.6	4.7
San Joaquin	HS	T	3,310	0.5	9.7	2.6	17.0	5.8	64.4	0.0.
	UC	M	65	3.1	18.5	4.6	13.9	3.0	56.9	1.5
		F	55	0.0	12.7	5.4	9.1	9.1	63.7	0.0
		T	120	1.7	15.8	5.0	11.7	5.8	60.0	0.8
	CSU	M	91	0.0	7.7	5.5	15.4	2.2	69.2	1.1
		F	88	1.1	8.0	1.1	12.5	5.7	71.6	1.1
		T	179	0.6	7.8	3.4	14.0	3.9	70.3	1.1
	ccc	M	449	2.2	2.1	3.6	15.6	5.8	69.7	2.3
		F	539	2.0	2.0	2.2	17.3	6.3	70.1	0.7
		T	988	2.1	2.5	2.8	16.5	6.1	69.9	1.4



48

	•			Amer-						Ethnic
Carretor	Seg-	<b>.</b>		ican		Fili-	His-			Data
<u>County</u>	ment	<u>S3X</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	<u>panic</u>	<u>Black</u>	White	Missing
Tulare	HS	T	2,792	1.2%	2.0%	0.9%	29.5%	1.7%	64.7%	0.0%
	UC	М	25	0.0	16.0	4.0	24.0	0.0	56.0	0.0
		F	30	3.3	10.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	56.7	3.3
		T	55	1.8	12.7	1.8	21.8	5.6	56.3	1.8
	CSU	М	55	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.7		<b></b>	
	CSU			0.0	0.0	0.0	32.7	5.5	61.8	0.0
		F	57	1.8	3.5	0.0	24.5	0.0	70.2	3.5
		T	112	0.9	1.8	0.C	28.6	2.7	66.0	1.8
	CCC	М	556	2.2	1.1	1.1	28.0	1.1	66.5	4.1
		F	541	3.7	0.4	1.1	26.4	2.2	66.2	3.9
		T	1,097	2.9	0.7	1.1	27.3	1.6	66.4	4.0
Stanislaus	HS	T	2,654	1.2	2.6	0.1	16.1	2.2	77.8	0.0
	UC	М	36	2.8	11.1	2.8	2.8	0.0	80.5	0.0
		F	48	0.0	4.2	0.0	8.3	0.0	87.5	2.1
		T	84	1.1	7.1	1.2	6.0	0.0	84.6	1.1
	csu	М	88	0.0	8.0	3.4	12.5	4.5	71.6	2.2
	555	F	103	0.0	3.9			3.9		
		T				1.0	10.7		80.5	1.9
		1	191	0.0	5.7	2.1	11.5	4.2	76.5	2.1
	CCC	M	425	0.7	3.1	0.2	14.8	1.6	79.5	2.3
		F	489	1.6	4.1	0.2	12.3	0.8	81.0	2.4
		T	914	1.2	3.6	0.2	13.5	1.2	80.3	2.3
Solano	HS	T	2,538	1.1	5.2	6.5	9.5	17.9	59.8	0.0
	UC	М	73	0.0	13.7	23.3	8.2	11.0	43.8	1.4
		F	76	0.0	11.8	26.3	3.9	11.9	46.1	1.3
		T	149	0.0	12.8	24.8	6.0	11.4	45.0	1.3
	csบ	М	57	0.0	3.5	3 5	10.5	8.9	73.6	0.0
	<del>-</del>	F	79			10.1			67.0	0.0
		T	136	0.7		7.4	9.6	8.8	69.8	0.0
	CCC	М	379	2.1	5.3	0 =	7.6	16 1	<b>50</b> /	2 7
	500	F	428			9.5		16.1	59.4	3.1
		T				8.6	9.1	14.3	61.4	2.0
		T	807	2.2	4.7	9.0	8.4	15.1	60.5	2.5



						Ethnic	Group			
				Amer-		_				Ethnic
	Seg-			ican		Fili-	His-			Data
County	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	Asian	pino	<u>panic</u>	<b>Black</b>	White	Missing
Monterey	HS	T	2,357	0.5%	6.6%	3.8%	23.2%	7.5%	54%	0.0%
	UC	M	87	0.0	11.5	4.6	20.7	1.1	62.1	3.4
		F	100	2.0	12.0	1.0	10.0	2.0	73.0	1.0
		Ť	187	1.1	11.8	2.7	15.0	1.6	67.8	2.1
	csu	M	52	1.9	11.5	5.8	1.9	0.0	78.9	0.0
		F	73	0.0	9.6	1.4	15.1	6.8	67.1	0.0
		T	125	0.8	10.4	3.2	9.6	4.0	72.0	0.0
	ССС	М	398	1.0	6.0	4.8	22.9	5.8	59.5	5.3
		F	398	0.8	2.5	6.0	18.1	6.3	66.3	4.2
		T	796	0.9	4.3	5.4	20.5	6.0	62.9	4.8
Santa Cruz	HS	T	1,638	0.4	2.1	0.6	16.0	1.2	79.7	0.0
	UC	М	56	0.0	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.6	7.1
		F	90	0.0	4.4	0.0	7.8	0.0	87.8	5.6
		Ť	146	0.0	4.8	0.0	4.8	0.0	90.4	6.2
	csu	М	54	0.0	3.7	1.9	1.9	1.9	90.6	0.0
		F	45	4.4	2.2	4.4	0.0	6.8	82.2	0.0
		T	99	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	4.1	86.9	0.0
	CCC	М	362	0.8	3.9	1.4	12.7	1.1	80.1	0.0
		F	391	0.5	2.3	1.8	12.8	1.5	81.1	0.5
		T	753	0.7	3.1	1.6	12.7	1.3	80.6	0.3
Placer	HS	T	1,820	0.4	1.0	0.0	5.7	0.8	92.1	0.0
	UC	M	28	3.6	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	92.8	10.7
		F	36	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	97.2	0.0
		T	<i>5</i> 4	1.6	0.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	95.3	4.7
	csu	M	47	0.0	2 - 1	0.0	4.3	0.0	93.6	4.3
		F	53	0.0	5.7	0.0	5.7	0.0	88.6	3.8
		T	100	0.0	4.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	91.0	4.0
	CCC	M	335	0.9	0.6	0.0	6.3	0.3	91.9	0.3
		F	377	1.8	1.1	0.0	5.3	0.8	91.0	0.0
		T	712	1.4	0.8	0.0	5.8	0.6	91.4	0.1

				Amer-						Ethnic
	Seg-			ican		Fili-	His-			Data
<u>County</u>	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	pino	<u>panic</u>	Black	<u>White</u>	Missing
Merced	HS	T	1,712	0.4%	6.2%	0.3%	22.1%	6.6%	64.4%	0.0%
	UC	M	15	0.0	13.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	80.0	6.7
		F	22	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6	0.0	86.4	9.1
		T	37	0.0	5.4	0.0	10.8	0.0	83.8	8.1
	csu	M	58	0.0	5.2	1.7	15.6	5.2	72.3	0.0
		F	76	1.3	3.9	0.0	15.8	6.6	72.4	2.6
		T	134	0.7	4.5	0.7	15.7	6.0	72.4	1.5
	ccc	M	294	1.0	1.7	0.3	20.7	8.5	67.7	0.0
		F	306	0.6	1.6	0.0	21.9	9.2	66.7	0.3
		T	600	0.8	1.7	0.2	21.3	8.8	67.2	0.2
Shasta	HS	T	1,567	4.9	0.3	0.0	2.7	0.6	91.5	0.0
	UC	M	13	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.3	0.0
		F	20	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	5.0
		T	33	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	91.0	3.0
	csu	M	22	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	95.5	5.5
		F	31	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.2	0.0	93.6	0.0
		ŗ	53	0.0	0.0	1.9	3.8	0.0	94.3	1.9
	CCC	M	278	4.3	0.7	0.0	3.2	0.0	91.7	0.7
		F	344	2.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.6	93.0	0.9
		T	622	3.1	0.3	0.0	3.9	0.3	92.4	0.8
Butte	HS	T	1,399	3.3	1.4	0.1	4.8	1.3	89.1	0.0
	UC	M	23	0.0	4.3	0.0	8.7	0.0	87.0	4.3
		F	20	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	90.0	5.0
		T	43	0.0	4.7	0.0	4.7	2.3	88.3	4.7
	csu	М	70	1.4	4.3	0.0	8.6	7.1	78.6	1.4
		F	106	0.9	3.8	0.0	10.3	1.9	83.1	0.9
		T	176	1.1	4.0	0.0	9.7	4.0	81.2	1.1
	CCC	M	149	2.0	0.7	0.7	3.3	2.7	90.6	0.6
		F	181	1.6	0.6	0.0	4.4	1.1	92.3	0.0
		T	330	1.8	0.6	0.3	3.9	1.8	91.5	0.3

County	Seg- ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	Amer- ican <u>Indian</u>	Asıan	Fili- pino	His- panic	Black	White	Ethnic Data <u>Missing</u>
San Luis Obispo	RS	T	1,466	0.1%	1.2%	1.2%	9.2%	0.9%	87.4%	0.0%
	UC	M F T	23 28 51	0.0 0.0 0.0	4.3 3.6 3.9	4.3 0.0 2.0	4.3 7.1 5.9	0.0 0.0 0.0	87.1 89.3 88.2	12.9 0.0 5.9
	CSU	M F T	81 82 163	1.2 1.2 1.2	1.2 1.2 1.2	1.2 2.4 1.8	6.3 7.3 6.8	0.0 1.2 0 6	90.1 86.7 88.4	1.2 1.2 1.2
	CCC	M F T	265 233 498	3.4 3.9 3.6	0.8 1.7 1.2	1.1 1.7 1.4	7.5 7.3 7.4	3.4 0.9 2.2	83.8 84.5 84.1	1.4 2.4 1.9
Imperial	HS	T	1,270	1.6	1.9	0.9	65.0	3.9	26.7	0.0
	UC	M F T	18 27 45	0.0 3.7 2.2	16.7 18.5 17.8	0.0 0.0 0.0	50.0 51.9 51.1	0.0 0.0 0.0	33.3 25.9 28.9	0.0 0.0 0.0
	CSU	M F T	29 17 46	0.0 0.0 0.0	10.3 0.0 6.5	0.0 0.0 0.0	58.6 35.3 50.0	0.0 5.9 2.2	31.1 58.8 41.3	3.4 5.9 4.3
	ccc	M F T	299 434 733	2.7 1.8 2.2	2.0 0.9 1.4	0.3 0.7 0.5	62.9 66.4 64.9	4.0 1.2 2.3	28.1 29.0 28.6	0.0 0.0 0.0
Yolo	HS	T	1,078	0.6	2.2	0.1	19.7	1.9	75.5	0.0
	UC	M F T	68 70 138	2.9 0.0 1.4	13.2 10.0 11.6	1.5 0.0 0.7	14.7 2.9 8.7	0.0 0.0 0.0	67.7 87.1 77.6	2.9 1.4 2.2
	csu	M F T	48 54 102	2.1 1.8 2.0	10.4 1.8 5.9		8.4 14.9 11.8	0.0 0.0 0.0	79.1 81.5 80.3	4.2 0.0 2.0
	ccc	M F T	163 169 332	1.8 3.6 2.7	4.3 3.6 3.9	0.0	19.6 12.4 16.0	1.2 1.2 1.2	71.8 79.3 75.6	1.7 3.8 2.8



.-50- 52

				Ethnic Group								
County	Seg- ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	Amer- ican <u>Indian</u>	Asian	Fili-	His- panic	Black	White	Ethnic Data Missing		
33333		3311		21141411	110 1411	<u> </u>	parrio	<u> </u>	<u></u>	11100 1119		
Humboldt	HS	T	1,010	9.8%	1.7%	0.2%	2.8%	0.7%	84.8%	0.0%		
	UC	M	15	6.7	13.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	73.3	6.7		
		F	12	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.7	0.0		
		T	27	7.4	7.4	0.0	3.7	0.0	81.5	3.7		
	csu	M	37	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	91.7	0.0		
		F	56	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.6	0.0		
		T	93	3.2	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	94.6	0.0		
	CCC	M	79	6.3	2.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	84.8	2.4		
		F	90	7.8	2.2	1.1	2.2	2.2	84.4	0.0		
		T	169	7.1	2.4	0.6	4.1	1.2	84.6	1.2		
Napa	HS	T	943	1.1	1.8	0.9	7.1	0.4	88.7	0.0		
	UC	M	41	4.9	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	90.3	7.3		
		F	41	0.0	9.8	0.0	9.8	2.4	78.0	2.4		
		T	82	2.4	6.1	0.0	6.1	1.2	84.2	4.9		
	CSU	M	18	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	94.4	5.6		
		F	42	2.4	4.8	2.4	2.4	0.0	88.0	7.2		
		T	60	1.7	3.3	1.7	3.3	0.0	90.0	6.7		
	CCC	M	73	1.4	2.7	2.7	9.6	2.7	80.8	1.3		
		F	83	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	2.4	90.4	0.0		
		T	156	0.6	1.3	1.3	8.3	2.6	85.9	0.6		
El Dorado	HS	T	1,035	1.3	0.1	0.6	2.2	0.3	95.5	0.0		
	UC	ı.	14	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	92.9	0.0		
		F	22	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	4.5		
		T	36	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	97.2	2.8		
	CSU	M	36	5.6	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	91.6	0.0		
		F	52	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	98.1	1.9		
		T	88	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	95.5	1.1		
	CCC	r:	194	2.1	0.5	0.0	4.1	0.5	92.8	1.5		
		F	197	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.0	92.4	1.5		
		T	391	1.8	1.0	1.0	2.8	0.8	92.6	1.5		

				Ethnic Group								
				Amer-						Ethnic		
	Seg-			ican		Fili-	His∙			Data		
County	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	<u>pan c</u>	<u>Black</u>	White	<u>Missing</u>		
Mendocino	HS	T	862	3.5%	0.9%	0.1%	2.8%	0.5%	92.2%	0.0%		
		_		/-	. ,0	,-		,,				
	UC	M	19	5.3	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.9	5.3		
		F	19	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.5	5.3		
		T	38	2.6	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.2	5.3		
	csu	M	32	0.0	3.2	0.0	6.3	0.0	90.5	6.3		
		F	44	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	91.0	4.5		
		T	76	2.6	1.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	90.8	5.3		
	CCC	M	115	3.5	0.0	0.9	4.3	0.0	91.3	7.1		
		F	133	3.0	1.5	0.0	3.0	0.8	91.7	7.5		
		T	148	3.2	0.8	0.4	3.6	0.4	91.5	7.3		
Kings	HS	T	827	0.6	1.0	2.3	27.6	5.4	63.1	0.0		
	UC	M	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0		
		F	13	7.7	0.0	15.4	7.7	7.7	61.5	0.0		
		T	25	4.0	0.0	8.0	16.0	4.0	68.0	0.0		
	csu	М	33	3.0	0.0	0.0	18.1	9.1	69.8	0.0		
		F	36	0.0	5.6	2.8	13.8	2.8	75.0	0.0		
		T	69	1.4	2.9	1.4	15.9	5.9	72.5	0.0		
	CCC	М	168	3.6	1.2	0.0	28.6	6.5	60.1	1.1		
		F	156	2.5	0.6	4.5	17.3	5.1	69.9	1.2		
		T	324	3.1	0.9	2.2	23.1	5.9	64.8	1.1		
Sutter	HS	T	645	0.0	12.4	0.2	10.1	0.8	76.5	0.0		
	UC	М	19	0.0	26.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.7	0.0		
		F	16	0.0	31.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.7	0.0		
		T	35	0.0	28.6	0.0	9.0	0.0	71.4	0.0		
	csu	М	25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0		
		F	22	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	95.5	0.0		
		T	47	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	97.9	0.0		
	CCC	М	106	0.9	0.9	ბ.0	7.5	1.9	88.7	8.3		
		F	100	2.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	93.0	5.3		
		T	206	1.4	0 5	0.0	6.3	1.0	90.8	6.8		



						Ethnic	Group			
				Amer-						Ethnic
	Seg-			ican		Fili-	His-			Data
<u>County</u>	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	<u>pino</u>	<u>panic</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>White</u>	<u>Missing</u>
Madera	HS	T	640	2.0%	1.3%	0.0%	36.6%	3.9%	56.2%	0.0%
	UC	М	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		F	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	28.6	42.8	0.0
		T	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.6	0.0
	csu	М	27	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.6	0.0	70.4	0.0
	CDC	F	36	0.0	5.6	5.6	36.1	5.6	47.1	0.0
		T	63	0.0	3.2	3.2	33.3	3.2	57.1	0.0
	CCC	M	107	1.9	0.9	0.9	15.0	6.5	74.8	0.9
	CCC	F	107	0.0	0.9	0.9	19.4	1.0	74.6 79.6	0.9
		T	210							
		1	210	1.0	0.5	0.5	17.1	3.8	77.1	0.5
Nevada	HS	T	519	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.4	97.9	0.0
	UC	М	15	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	93.3	6.7
		F	20	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	5.0
		T	35	0.0	2.9	0.0	5.7	0.0	91.4	5.7
	csu	М	20	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	95.0	5.0
		F	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	9.5
		T	41	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	97.6	7.3
	ccc	М	106	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.9	0.9	94.3	1.8
		F	112	1.8	0.0	0.0	2.7	1.8	93.7	0.9
		T	218	1.9	0.9	0.0	1.8	1.4	94.0	1.3
Tehama	HS	T	507	2.2	0.4	0.0	5.3	0.2	91.9	0.0
	UC	М	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		F	8	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		T	11	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	0.0
	csu	М	25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		F	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	95.7	0.0
		T	48	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	97.9	0.0
	ССС	М	92	5.4	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	82.6	2.1
		F	96	1.0	2.1	0.0	5.2	0.0	91.7	1.0
		T	188	3.2	1.1	0.0	8.5	0.0	87.2	1.5
		-		J. 2		•••	0.0		J	



<sub>-53-</sub> 55

County	Seg- ment	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Number</u>	Amer- ican <u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	Fili- pino	His- panic	<u>Black</u>	<u>White</u>	Ethnic Data <u>Missing</u>
Siskiyou	HS	T	463	11.4%	1.1%	0.0%	4.3%	2.2%	81.0%	0.0%
	UC	M	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		F	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	25.0
		T	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	14.3
	csu	M	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
		F	19	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	89.5	0.0
		T	29	3.4	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	86.3	0.0
	CCC	M	84	7.1	0.0	0.0	3.6	1.2	88.1	3.1
		F	106	9.4	0.0	0.0	3.8	2.8	84.0	3.6
		T	190	8.4	0.0	0.0	3.7	2.1	85.8	3.5
Yuba	HS	T	427	4.9	5.4	2.1	12.7	4.9	70.0	0.0
	UC	M	8	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	0.0
		F	9	0.0	55.6	0.0	1'.1	0.0	33.3	0.0
		T	17	0.0	47.1	0.0	5.8	0.0	47.1	0.0
	csu	M	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	10.0	60.0	0.0
		F	8	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	50.0	0.0
		T	18	5.6	5.6	0.0	22.2	11.1	55.5	0.0
	CCC	M	70	5.7	4.3	1.4	2.9	4.3	81.4	2.6
		F	91	4.4	3.3	2.2	4.4	6.6	79.1	1.1
		T	161	5.0	3.7	1.9	3.7	5.6	80.1	1.8
Tuolumne	HS	T	394	7.1	0.5	0.3	5.3	0.3	86.5	0.0
	UC	M	1	ა.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		F	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		T	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	csu	M	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	91.7	0.0
		F	14	0.0	0.0	û Û	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		T	26	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	96.2	0.0
	CCC	M	94	1.1	1.1	1.1	5.3	0.0	91.5	0.0
		F	117	0.9	0.0	0.0	6.8	0.0	92.3	0.0
		T	211	0.9	0.5	0.5	6.2	0.0	91.9	0.0



						Ethnic	Group			
	_			Amer-						Ethnic
	Seg-	_		icar		Fili-	His-			Data
County	ment	<u>Sex</u>	Number	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>	pino	panic	Black	<u>White</u>	Missing
Lake	HS	T	389	1.0%	0.8%	0.3%	3.6%	1.8%	92.5%	0.0%
	UC	М	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		F	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	80.0	10.0
		T	12	8.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	75.0	8.3
	CSU	М	8	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	62.5	0.0
		F	8	12.5	0.0	12.5	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		T	16	12.5	6.2	6.2	18.8	0.0	56.3	0.0
	CCC	M	41	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	97.6	4.5
		F	46	4.3	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	91.3	2.1
		T	87	2.3	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	94.3	3.3
TOTAL	HS	M	111,455	0.8	7.5	1.7	18.0	8.2	63.8	0.0
COUNTIES		F	120,744	0.7	6.8	1.7	18.3	9.2	63.3	0.0
		T	232,199	0.7	7.2	1.7	18.1	8.7	63.6	0.0
	UC	М	8,621	0.6	18.4	3.5	9.6	3.9	64.0	3.1
		F	9,531	0.6	16.2	3.8	8.8	7.0	63.6	2.8
		T	18,152	0.6	17.3	3.7	9.1	5.6	63.7	2.9
	CSU	M	9,769	0.6	13.7	3.0	11.7	5.2	65.8	3.0
		F	12,032	0.9	11.4	3.4	11.6	8.2	64.5	2.5
		T	21,801	0.8	12.4	3.2	11.6	6.9	65.1	2.3
	CCC	M	39,260	1.5	6.8	2.5	15.9	8.7	64.6	2.3
		F	42,079	1.5	5.3	2.3	16.3	9.9	64.7	2.1
		T	81,339	1.5	6.0	2.4	16.1	9.3	64.7	2.2
TOTAL		M	58,743	1.3	9.7	2.6	14.3	7.4	64.7	2.5
(UC,CSU,CCC	<b>:</b> )	F	64,757	1.2	8.1	2.8	14.3	9.1	64.5	2.3
		T	123,500	1.2	8.9	2.7	14.3	8.3	64.6	2.4

Note: The sum of the percentages for the six ethnic groups in each row equals 100.

"Number" is the total number of students whose ethnicity was known.

Source: California Postsecondary Education Commission.



#### REFERENCES

- California Postsecondary Education Commission. Access in a Broader Context:

  College-Going Rates in California. Commission Report 78-14. Sacramento:
  The Commission, October 1978.
- --. College-Going Rates in California: Fall 1978 Update. Commission Agenda Item, September 16, 1979.
- --. <u>College-Going Rates in California</u>: <u>1979 Update</u>. Commission Report 81-3. Sacramento: The Commission, January 1981.
- --. <u>California College-Going Rates and Community College Transfers</u>: 1980 <u>Update</u>. Commission Report 80-2. Sacramento: The Commission, January 1982a.
- -- Update of Community College Transfer Student Statistics, June 1982.
  Commission Report 82-24. Sacramento: The Commission, June 1982b.
- --. <u>California College-Going Rates</u>: <u>1981 Update</u>. Commission Report 82-42. Sacramento: The Commission, December 1982c.
- --. <u>Update of Community College Transfer Student Statistics</u>, <u>Fall 1982</u>. Commission Report 83-11. Sacramento: The Commission, March 1983a.
- -- <u>California College-Going Rates: 1982 Update</u>. Commission Report 83-32. Sacramento: The Commission, December 1983b.
- --. Update of Community College Transfer Student Statistics, Fall 1983. Commission Report 84-10. Sacramento: The Commission, March 1984.
- --. "The Fall 1983 Enrollment Decline in the California Community Colleges."

  <u>Director's Report</u>, <u>January 1985</u>. Commission Report 85-6. Sacramento:
  The Commission, January 1985a.
- --. <u>California College-Going Rates</u>: <u>1983 Update</u>. Commission Report 85-7. Sacramento: The Commission, January 1985b.
- --. Eligibility of California's 1983 High School Graduates for Admission to the State's Public Universities: A Report from the 1983 High School Eligibility Study. Commission Report 85-23. Sacramento: The Commission, April 1985c.



<sup>-57-</sup> 58

#### CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION

A state agency created in 1974 to assure the effective utilization of public postsecondary education resources, thereby eliminating waste and unnecessary duplication, and to promote diversity, innovation, and responsiveness to student and societal needs through statewide planning and coordination.

#### MEMBERS

Representing the General Public:

Seth P. Brunner, Chairperson Sacramento C. Thomas Dean Long Beach Seymour M. Farber San Francisco Patricia Gandara Sacramento Ralph J. Kaplan Beverly Hills Roger C. Pettitt Los Angeles Sharon N. Skog Mountain View Thomas E. Stang, Vice Chairperson Los Angeles Stephen P. Teale Modesto

Representing the Regents of the University of California:

Sheldon W. Andelson Los Angeles

Representing the Trustees of The California State University:

Claudia H. Hampton

Los Angeles

Representing the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges:
Peter M. Finnegan San Francisco

Representing the Independent California Colleges and Universities:

Jean M. Leonard

San Mateo

Representing the Council for Private Postsecondary Educational Institutions:

Darlene M. Laval Fresno

Representing the State Board of Education:

Angie Papadakis Rancho Palos Verdes

#### ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVES

Representing the Regents of the University of California:

Yori Wada San Francisco

Representing the Trustees of The California State University:
Lynne Wasserman Beverly Hills

Representing the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges:

Jane M. Tolmach

Oxnard

DIRECTOR Patrick M. Callan

1020 Twelfth Street, Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone (916) 445-7933

